

# Facultad de Medicina y Ciencias de la Salud Carrera de Medicina

# Año 2023 Trabajo Final de Carrera (Tesis)

# Prevención de Delirium de Emergencia con Dexmedetomidina en Pediátricos Emergency Delirium Prevention with Dexmedetomidine in Pediatrics

# **Alumno:**

# Gabrielly Araujo Barreto

Gabrielly.AraujoBarreto@Alumnos.uai.edu.ar Facultad de Medicina y Ciencias de la Salud Universidad Abierta Interamericana

# **Tutor:**

# Javier González-Argote

Javier.gonzalezargote@uai.edu.ar Facultad de Medicina y Ciencias de la Salud Universidad Abierta Interamericana

# Prevención de Delirium de Emergencia con Dexmedetomidina en Pediátricos Emergency Delirium Prevention with Dexmedetomidine in Pediatrics

Autores: Gabrielly Araujo Barreto, Javier Gonzalez-Argote.

# Resumen

Introducción: La prevención del delirium de emergencia con dexmedetomidina en pacientes pediátricos es un tema de creciente interés en la práctica médica y la investigación clínica. El delirium de emergencia, también conocido como síndrome de delirium en la unidad de cuidados intensivos pediátricos (UCIP), es un trastorno neuropsiquiátrico grave que afecta a niños y adolescentes críticamente enfermos. Objetivos: Analizar de manera exhaustiva la literatura científica disponible con el propósito de evaluar la efectividad y seguridad de la dexmedetomidina como agente farmacológico en la prevención del delirium de emergencia en pacientes pediátricos. Material y métodos: Se realizará una Revisión Sistemática de la literatura, que se regirá de acuerdo con las directrices PRISMA. Las unidades de análisis serán los resúmenes y texto completo de artículos con diseño de ensayos clínicos aleatorizado o cohorte prospectiva o retrospectiva, publicados en Scopus, Web of Science y Pubmed, sin restricción temporal. Resultados: La revisión sistemática indica que la dexmedetomidina resulta prometedora para reducir la incidencia de delirio postoperatorio, delirio de urgencia y dolor en diversas poblaciones quirúrgicas. Estos hallazgos tienen implicaciones clínicas significativas, especialmente para pacientes ancianos y niños sometidos a procedimientos específicos. El perfil de seguridad de la dexmedetomidina fue generalmente aceptable, sin que se notificaran acontecimientos adversos importantes. En conclusión, si bien la revisión sistemática sugiere que la dexmedetomidina puede ofrecer beneficios en la prevención del delirio postoperatorio y mejorar los resultados perioperatorios, se necesitan investigaciones adicionales para establecer la dosis óptima, refinar los métodos de evaluación y explorar sus efectos a largo plazo. La dexmedetomidina promete ser una herramienta valiosa en entornos quirúrgicos pediátricos y geriátricos, con el potencial de mejorar la atención y la recuperación de los pacientes.

Palabras Clave: Delirio, Urgencias, Dexmedetomidina, Pediatría.

# **Abstract**

Introduction: Fecal Matter Transplantation is a method based on the administration of a processed and prepared fecal suspension from a healthy individual to another patient with the aim of restoring intestinal microbiota balance by manipulating the microbiota to the carrier of the specific disease with the goal of achieving its resolution. Objectives: To describe the scientific evidence on fecal microbiota transplantation strategies to restore intestinal balance and reduce Clostridium difficile infections. Material and methods: A Systematic Review of the literature was carried out, which will be governed according to PRISMA guidelines. The units of analysis will be abstracts and full text of articles with randomized clinical trial design or prospective or retrospective cohort, published in Scopus, Web of Science and Pubmed, without temporal restriction. Results: The results of this review support the efficacy of FMT in the treatment of CRID and provide valuable information on the restoration of

intestinal balance. However, further research and rigorous clinical trials are required to fully understand the mechanisms underlying these effects and to optimize treatment protocols. FMT has the potential to be a valuable tool in clinical practice and in the fight against recurrent intestinal infections, as well as in reducing antibiotic resistance. Results: The systematic review indicates that dexmedetomidine shows promise in reducing the incidence of postoperative delirium, emergency delirium, and pain in various surgical populations. These findings have significant clinical implications, especially for elderly patients and children undergoing specific procedures. Dexmedetomidine's safety profile was generally acceptable, with no major adverse events reported. In conclusion, while the systematic review suggests that dexmedetomidine may offer benefits in preventing postoperative delirium and improving perioperative outcomes, further research is needed to establish optimal dosing, refine assessment methods, and explore its long-term effects. Dexmedetomidine holds promise as a valuable tool in pediatric and geriatric surgical settings, with the potential to enhance patient care and recovery.

Keywords: Microbiota fecal; Trasplante de microbiota fecal; Clostridioides difficile; Revisión sistemática.

### INTRODUCTION

The prevention of emergency delirium with dexmedetomidine in pediatric patients is a topic of growing interest in medical practice and clinical research. Emergency delirium, also known as pediatric intensive care unit (PICU) delirium syndrome, is a severe neuropsychiatric disorder affecting critically ill children and adolescents. This syndrome is characterized by acute altered mental status, including confusion, agitation, hallucinations, and disorientation, and may be associated with significant complications, such as a longer PICU stay, increased health care costs, and an elevated risk of morbidity and mortality. Dexmedetomidine, a selective alpha-2 adrenergic receptor agonist, has emerged as a promising pharmacological agent in the prevention and treatment of emergence delirium in critically ill pediatric patients. This introduction aims to explore the rationale, clinical relevance, and implications of emergency delirium prevention with dexmedetomidine in the pediatric setting, providing a comprehensive overview of this critical and evolving topic.

Fundamentals of Pediatric Emergency Delirium:

Emergency delirium in pediatric patients is a complex, multifactorial phenomenon that occurs most frequently in the PICU. It affects children and adolescents who are in critical health states due to various medical conditions, such as severe trauma, complex surgeries, sepsis, acute neurological illnesses and other serious medical conditions. Although less common compared to adults, pediatric delirium is a relevant clinical entity that can have significant consequences for the patient and the health care team.

Characteristic symptoms of delirium in pediatric patients include acute changes in mental status, such as alterations in consciousness, difficulty maintaining attention, fluctuations in alertness, psychomotor agitation, visual or auditory hallucinations, disorientation in time and space, and disorganized thinking. These symptoms can be disturbing to both the patient and caregivers, and often make communication and appropriate medical care difficult.

At the pathophysiologic level, pediatric delirium has been associated with a systemic inflammatory response, neurochemical imbalances, and brain dysfunction. Changes in brain function, including decreased cerebral blood flow and altered neural networks, contribute to the symptoms of delirium. In addition, increased release of proinflammatory cytokines has been observed in pediatric patients with delirium, suggesting a link between the inflammatory response and the pathogenesis of delirium. Clinical Relevance of Pediatric Emergency Delirium:

The clinical relevance of emergence delirium in pediatric patients is undeniable. This syndrome is associated with a number of adverse complications that can adversely affect the prognosis and quality of life of patients. Some of the most prominent clinical implications include:

1. prolonged PICU stay: pediatric patients with emergence delirium tend to have longer PICU stays compared to those

who do not develop this syndrome. This not only increases the emotional and financial burden for families, but may also expose patients to an increased risk of nosocomial complications.

Increased risk of morbidity and mortality: Pediatric delirium has been associated with an increased risk of medical complications, such as respiratory failure, secondary infections and multiple organ dysfunction. In some cases, delirium may contribute to significant worsening of health status and increased mortality.

- 3. Neurodevelopmental disturbances: Children and adolescents who experience delirium in the PICU may be at risk for long-term neurodevelopmental effects. Studies have shown that pediatric delirium is associated with an increased risk of cognitive and functional disabilities later in life.
- 4. Attention and communication difficulties: Symptoms of delirium, such as agitation and disorientation, can hinder medical care and effective communication with the patient, which in turn can delay diagnosis and treatment of other medical conditions.
- 5. Impact on caregivers' quality of life: Pediatric delirium not only affects the patient, but can also have a significant emotional and psychological impact on caregivers, who often experience high levels of stress and anxiety.

Given the clinical relevance of emergence delirium in pediatric patients, there is growing interest in developing effective prevention and treatment strategies to address this syndrome and its adverse implications.

Implications of Dexmedetomidine in the Prevention of Pediatric Delirium:

Dexmedetomidine is a drug that has shown promise in the prevention and treatment of delirium in critically ill pediatric patients. It is classified as a selective alpha-2 adrenergic receptor agonist and has sedative, anxiolytic and analgesic properties. Although initially used as an anesthetic and analgesic agent in adults, its use in pediatrics has increased in recent decades due to its safety profile and potential benefits in the prevention of delirium. Dexmedetomidine exerts its main effect by activating alpha-2 adrenergic receptors in the central nervous system, leading to an inhibition of noradrenaline release. This results in a decrease in sympathetic activity, a reduction in the release of proinflammatory cytokines and a decrease in oxidative stress, which may be beneficial in critically ill pediatric patients.

Clinical studies and experimental research have provided evidence supporting the use of dexmedetomidine in the prevention of delirium in pediatric patients. Dexmedetomidine has been observed to reduce the incidence of delirium in the PICU and improve sleep quality in these patients. In addition, it has been associated with a decreased need for sedatives and opioid analgesics, which may have a positive impact on the avoidance of complications and undesirable side effects.

One of the highlights of dexmedetomidine is its ability to provide sedation and analgesia without significantly suppressing respiratory function, making it an attractive option in the management of pediatric patients in the PICU. Its safety profile and the possibility of rapid reversal with the antagonist agent flumazenil if needed have contributed to its adoption in pediatric clinical settings. Objective: To comprehensively analyze the available scientific literature in order to evaluate the effectiveness and safety of dexmedetomidine as a pharmacological agent in the prevention of emergency delirium in pediatric patients.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

## Study Design

A Systematic Review of the literature will be conducted, which will be governed according to the PRISMA guidelines (preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses).

# Study Population

Inclusion Criteria

- Randomized clinical trials.
- Prospective or retrospective cohort studies.

### **Exclusion Criteria**

- Review Articles, Scientific Letters/Letters to the Editor, Case Reports, Editorials, Original Articles corresponding to Observational Studies.

# Selection and Sample Size

The units of analysis will be the abstracts and full text of articles with randomized clinical trial design or prospective or retrospective cohort, published in Scopus, Web of Science and Pubmed, without time restriction.

# Ethical and legal considerations

This study included secondary data sources and therefore does not correspond to an analysis from the ethical point of view, given that no experimentation or evaluations were performed on human beings/experimental animals.

# **RESULTS**

Study	Country	Aim	Intervention	Type of research	Sample	Main results	Clinical/practical implications
Postoperative Delirium after Dexmedetomidine versus Propofol Sedation in Healthy Older Adults Undergoing Orthopedic Lower Limb Surgery with Spinal Anesthesia: A Randomized Controlled Trial (Shin)	South Korea	Delirium is a critical postoperative complication in older. patients. Based on the hypothesis that intraoperative dexmedetomidine sedation would lower postoperative delirium than propofol sedation would, the authors compared the incidence of postoperative delirium in older adults, using the mentioned sedatives.	-Control Group: Propofol infused continuously through a device, adjusting the concentration at the site of effect between 1.0 and 2.0 μg/ml.  -Experimental Group: Dexmedetomidine received a loading dose of 1 μg/kg for more than 10 minutes, followed by continuous administration of 0.1 to 0.5 μg - kg- 1 - h-1.	Randomized Double Blind Clinical Trial	-Control Group: 366 initial patients and 344 final patients.  -Experimental Group: 366 initial patients and 342 final patients.  Patients 65 years of age or older in orthopedic surgeries.	The study included 748 patients aged 65 and over who had undergone elective lower extremity orthopedic surgery. They were randomized into two groups, with 374 patients in each group. After excluding some patients, 732 patients were included in the intention-to- treat analysis and 683 patients were included in the per-protocol analysis. The primary outcome measure was the incidence of postoperative delirium, which was assessed using the confounding assessment method. The incidence of postoperative delirium was compared between the dexmedetomidine and propofol groups. The incidence of postoperative delirium was significantly lower in the dexmedetomidine group than in the propofol group (3.0% vs. 6.6%; odds ratio, 0.42; 95% Cl, 0.201 to 0.86; P = 0.036). Hemodynamic variables, including mean arterial pressure (MAP) and heart rate (HR), were assessed as secondary outcomes. MAP	The study suggests that the use of dexmedetomidine sedation during lower limb orthopedic surgery in older adults may reduce the incidence of postoperative delirium compared to propofol sedation. The study also found that MAP was higher in the dexmedetomidine group during sedation, but significantly lower in the PACU, requiring a greater amount of phenylephrine than the propofol group. HR was lower in the dexmedetomidine group, both during sedation and in the PACU. This finding has practical implications for physicians and anesthesiologists involved in the perioperative treatment of elderly patients undergoing lower limb orthopedic surgery. Implementing dexmedetomidine sedation as a strategy during surgery may help

		Г	1				
						and HR were measured	reduce the risk of
						before sedation, during	postoperative delirium
						sedation and in the post-	in this population.
						anesthetic care unit (PACU).	Doctors should carefully
						Mean arterial pressure	consider the choice of
						(MAP) was higher in the	sedative, taking into
						dexmedetomidine group	account the possible
						during sedation, but	benefits of
						significantly lower in the	dexmedetomidine in
						PACU, requiring a greater	preventing delirium in
						amount of phenylephrine	the elderly.
						than the propofol group.	Further research and
						Meanwhile, heart rate (HR)	clinical trials may be
						was lower in the	needed to validate
						dexmedetomidine group,	these findings and
						both during sedation and in	explore the optimal
						the PACU.	dosage and
							administration
							protocols for
							dexmedetomidine
							sedation in this context.
							Overall, this study
							provides valuable
							information on the
							possible benefits of
							dexmedetomidine
							sedation in reducing
							postoperative delirium
							in the elderly
							undergoing orthopedic
							surgery, highlighting the
							importance of
							considering sedative
							options in perioperative
							care.
Dexmedetomidine for		Emergence delirium	- <u>Control Group:</u>			The study included a total of	The administration of
the prevention of		(ED) is a common	Same volume of		- <u>Control Group</u> : 48	90 patients, with 48 patients	dexmedetomidine can
emergence delirium		neurologic complication	Saline Solution	Randomized	patients at the	in each group.	be considered a rational
and postoperative	China	that can not only	24	Double Blind	beginning and 45	The administration of	and feasible approach
behavioral changes in		distress children and	- Experimental	Clinical Trial	at the end.	dexmedetomidine	to reduce the incidence
pediatric patients with		their families in the	Group:			significantly reduced the	of emergence delirium
pediatric patients with		anen jannines in ane	<u>010up.</u>		ļ.	Significantly reduced the	o, emergence demidin

sevoflurane anesthesia:	early postanesthetic	Dexmedetomidine	- <u>Experimental</u>	incidence of emergency	(ED) in pediatric
a double-blind,	period but can also have	loading dose of 1	Group: 48 patients	delirium (ED) compared to	patients undergoing
randomized trial (Shi)	adverse.	μg/kg over 10	at the beginning	the control group (31.1% vs	tonsillectomy with
	effects on children in the	minutes, followed	and 45 at the end.	53.3%; P=0.033). The	sevoflurane anesthesia.
	long-term. This study	by a maintenance		incidence of severe ED was	Dexmedetomidine can
	aimed to investigate the	dose of 0.5	Patients aged 2-7	also significantly lower in the	be used to prevent
	effects of single dose.	μg/kg/h until the	years in	dexmedetomidine group.	postoperative negative
	dexmedetomidine on ED	end of surgery.	undergoing	Dexmedetomidine prolonged	behavioral changes
	in children with		tonsillectomy	extubation time (P<0.001).	(NPOBCs) in pediatric
	sevoflurane anesthesia			There were no significant	patients after
	and to observe			differences in the length of	sevoflurane anesthesia.
	postoperative			stay in the post-anesthetic	The use of
	behavioral changes			care unit (PACU) after	dexmedetomidine can
	through long-term			extubation and in the	result in a decrease in
	follow-up.			percentage of adverse	the incidence of pain in
				events between the two	pediatric patients after
				groups.	tonsillectomy.
				Dexmedetomidine also	However, it should be
				reduced the incidence of	noted that the
				pain compared to the	administration of
				control group (28.9% vs	dexmedetomidine may
				57.8%; P=0.006).	prolong extubation
				The incidence of	time.
				postoperative negative	The study did not assess
				behavioral changes	children's baseline
				(NPOBCs) was significantly	temperament using a
				lower in the	validated assessment
				dexmedetomidine group at	tool, which has been
				one and seven days after	suggested as an
				discharge (33.3% vs 60.0%; P	important contributor
				= 0.011 and 24.4% vs 46.7%;	to ED and NPOBCs.
				P = 0.028, respectively).	In summary, the study
				However, there was no	suggests that
				significant difference in	dexmedetomidine may
				NPOBCs between the two	be a useful intervention
				groups on day 30.	to reduce the incidence
					of ED, pain and NPOBCs
					in pediatric patients
					undergoing
					tonsillectomy with
					sevoflurane anesthesia.

						The study investigated the	However, the prolonged extubation time and the lack of significant difference in the number of patients requiring additional treatment with fentanyl should be taken into account when planning surgery. Further studies are needed to confirm the results and assess the initial temperament of the children using a validated assessment tool  The use of intranasal dexmedetomidine or
Effect of Intranasal Dexmedetomidine or Midazolam for Premedication on the Occurrence of Respiratory Adverse Events in Children Undergoing Tonsillectomy and Adenoidectomy (Shen)	China	To investigate the effect of intranasal dexmedetomidine or midazolam used for premedication on the occurrence of PRAEs.	-Control Group: 0.9% Intranasal Saline Solution  -Experimental Group 1: Intranasal Midazolam 0.1 mg/kg  -Experimental Group 2: Intranasal Dexmedetomidine 2.0 μg/kg	Randomized Double Blind Clinical Trial	-Control Group: 125 patients  -Experimental Group 1: 124 patients  -Experimental Group 2: 124 patients.  Patients from 0 to 12 years old submitted to elective tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy.	effect of intranasal dexmedetomidine, and midazolam used as premedication on the appearance of perioperative respiratory adverse events (PRAE) in children undergoing tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy. Dexmedetomidine facilitated endotracheal tube tolerance and significantly reduced the incidence of oxygen desaturation and coughing at the time of extubation, without affecting time. The use of dexmedetomidine can reduce airway reflexes and suppress a sudden increase in heart rate during extubation, possibly due to a decrease in sympathetic activity.	midazolam as premedication in children undergoing tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy can potentially reduce the occurrence of perioperative respiratory adverse events (PRAEs). In addition, dexmedetomidine can facilitate endotracheal tube tolerance and reduce coughing during extubation, leading to a smoother extubation process. The administration of dexmedetomidine may help suppress airway reflexes and prevent a marked increase in

			The severity of obstructive	heart rate during
			sleep apnea (OSA) was not	extubation, possibly due
			classified in the study, and	to its effect in reducing
			OSA status was assessed	sympathetic activity.
			based on clinical history	The study highlights the
			rather than	importance of
			polysomnography.	considering individual
			There was no significant	differences in children
			difference in the incidence of	and the possible
			delirium on postoperative	influence of parents'
			awakening, postoperative	level of education on
			pain score, sedation success	the occurrence of
			rate and heart rate values	PRAEs. It also provides
			between the three groups.	high-quality evidence to
			Binary logistic regression	guide the choice of
			was used to adjust for	preoperative sedatives
			confounding factors such as	for children undergoing
			physical status, body mass	tonsillectomy and
			index, upper respiratory	adenoidectomy,
			tract infection, passive	highlighting the
			smoking and OSA.	importance of
			In summary, the study	considering the
			suggests that intranasal	incidence of PRAEs
			dexmedetomidine may be a	when selecting
			better option than intranasal	preoperative sedatives.
			midazolam for	It suggests that
			premedication in children	physicians should be
			undergoing tonsillectomy	cautious when using
			and adenoidectomy to	intranasal midazolam
			reduce the incidence of	as a premedication in
			PRAEs.	children undergoing
				tonsillectomy and
				adenoidectomy, as it
				may increase the
				incidence of PRAEs.
				In summary, the study
				provides valuable
				information on the use
				of preoperative
				sedatives for children
				undergoing
		<u> </u>		unacryonig

The effect of perioperative dexmedetomidine on the incidence of postoperative delirium in cardiac and noncardiac surgical patients: a randomized, double-blind placebocontrolled trial (Norden)	Germany	The objective of the study was to investigate the effect of perioperative administration of dexmedetomidine on the incidence of postoperative delirium in non-cardiac and cardiac surgical patients aged ≥ 60 y.	-Control Group: Placebo  -Experimental Group: Dexmedetomidine ranged from 0.5 μg.kg-1.h-1 to 0.7 μg.kg-1.h-1, and a loading dose of between 0.6 and 1.0 μg.kg-1 was used in some studies	Randomized Double Blind Clinical Trial	- Control Group: 32 patients  - Experimental Group: 28 patients  Patients aged ≥ 60 years undergoing cardiac or non- cardiac surgery.	The study found that perioperative administration of dexmedetomidine was associated with a reduced incidence of postoperative delirium in the first 5 postoperative days in noncardiac and cardiac surgical patients aged 60 and over undergoing major surgery (43.8% vs. 17.9%, p = 0.038). The severity of delirium, as measured by the Intensive Care Delirium Screening Checklist, was comparable in the two groups (mean maximum score of 1.54 vs. 1.68, p = 0.767). There was no difference in the incidence of postoperative cognitive dysfunction (POCD) between	tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy. The results suggest that intranasal dexmedetomidine may be a safer and more effective option than intranasal midazolam for reducing the incidence of PRAEs. Clinicians should consider using intranasal dexmedetomidine for sedation in children undergoing tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy when clinically appropriate.  The perioperative administration of dexmedetomidine can be considered a possible strategy to reduce the incidence of postoperative delirium in patients aged ≥ 60 years undergoing major cardiac or non-cardiac surgery. It also reduces anxiety levels on the day of surgery.  Dexmedetomidine can help improve patient outcomes by reducing postoperative mortality and the main complications associated with delirium.
---	---------	--	--	--	---	--	---

	T T			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			the two groups. In addition,	The use of
			the incidence of POCD was	dexmedetomidine in the
			not influenced by gender,	perioperative period
			ASA physical status,	may be a promising and
			occurrence of postoperative	safe approach to
			delirium or other	effectively reduce
			perioperative precipitating	postoperative delirium
			factors, such as education	in carefully selected
			and MMSE score.	high-risk patients.
			Anxiety reported on the first	Future studies with
			day after surgery was	larger sample sizes and
			significantly lower in the	long-term outcomes are
			dexmedetomidine group	needed to further
			compared to placebo	validate the efficacy
			During the last hours of	and safety of
			surgery, heart rate was	dexmedetomidine in
			lower in the	reducing postoperative
			dexmedetomidine group	delirium, since
			compared to placebo, and	postoperative delirium
			intraoperative heart rate	is a common and
			was less variable in the	serious complication of
			dexmedetomidine group	surgery, particularly in
			during the course of surgery	elderly patients, and
			No patients in the	can lead to increased
			dexmedetomidine group	morbidity, mortality and
			died, while five patients	healthcare costs
			(15.6%) in the placebo group	Physicians and
			died (p = 0.029), between a	healthcare providers
			90-day postoperative	should consider
			evaluation period.	incorporating
			The authors concluded that	dexmedetomidine into
			perioperative administration	their perioperative
			of dexmedetomidine is	management strategies
			associated with a lower	for elderly patients
			incidence of postoperative	undergoing major
			delirium in patients aged ≥	surgery to potentially
			60 years undergoing major	reduce the incidence of
			cardiac or non-cardiac	postoperative delirium
			surgery.	and improve patient
			Overall, the study concluded	outcomes, as it has
			that perioperative	, , , , , ,
 1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	p	

						administration of dexmedetomidine is safe for use in non-cardiac and cardiac surgical patients aged 60 and over undergoing major surgery and significantly reduces the incidence of postoperative delirium	been shown to be an effective strategy.
Comparison of Intranasal Dexmedetomidine and Oral Midazolam for Premedication in Pediatric Dental Patients under General Anesthesia: A Randomised Clinical Trial (Wang)	China	The aim of the study was to compare the effects of preoperative intranasal dexmedetomidine and oral midazolam on preoperative sedation and postoperative agitation in pediatric dental patients undergoing general anesthesia. The study also aimed to evaluate the safety and efficacy of both drugs in the pediatric population.	-Control Group: 0.5 mg/kg oral midazolam.  -Experimental Group: 2 µg/kg preoperative intranasal dexmedetomidine.	Randomized Double Blind Clinical Trial	-Control Group: 30 patients  -Experimental Group: 30 patients  Patients aged 3 to 6 undergoing dental treatment under general anesthesia	The study, carried out with 60 patients divided into two equal groups, found that both intranasal dexmedetomidine and oral midazolam provided satisfactory sedation in pediatric patients aged 3-6 undergoing dental treatment under general anesthesia. There was no significant difference between the two groups in terms of parental separation anxiety and mask acceptance. However, the incidence of emergent pediatric postoperative delirium was significantly lower in the dexmedetomidine group compared to the midazolam group. In addition, the incidence of agitation was higher in the midazolam group compared to the dexmedetomidine group. The study also mentioned that the intranasal bioavailability of dexmedetomidine is 65%	Both intranasal dexmedetomidine and oral midazolam can be used for premedication in pediatric dental patients under general anesthesia, providing satisfactory sedation. Dexmedetomidine may be preferred over midazolam due to its lower incidence of postoperative agitation and pediatric emergency delirium. The study highlights the importance of considering the route of administration and bioavailability of drugs when selecting premedication options for pediatric patients. Pediatric dentists and anesthesiologists may consider the use of intranasal dexmedetomidine as an alternative to oral midazolam, especially in reducing postoperative agitation and

cy delirium.
nds further with large of determine nal doses of omidine and safety and the pediatrulation.
with large o determine nal doses of omidine and safety and the pediatr ilation. of this stud
o determine nal doses of omidine and safety and the pediatrulation.
nal doses of omidine and safety and the pediatr Ilation. of this stud
omidine and safety and the pediatrollation. of this stud
safety and the pediatr llation. of this stud
the pediatr llation. of this stud
ılation. of this stud
of this stud
de clinical
ac cillicul
n improving
ive sedation
toperative
in pediatric
patients
ng general
thesia.
omidine doe
the incidence
behavior o

behaviour change in	dexmedetomidine	same volume of	- <u>Experimental</u>	one-day surgeries. The study	the third postoperative
children: a randomised	reduces the incidence of	saline	<u>Group 1</u> : 82	used three groups of	day in children aged
controlled trial (Lee-	negative behavior		patients	children: a premedication	two to seven
Archer)	change after anesthesia	- <u>Experimental</u>		group that received 2 μg.kg-	undergoing one-day
	in children aged two to	<u>Group 1</u> :	- <u>Experimental</u>	1 of intranasal	procedures. However,
	seven years undergoing	(Premedication) 2	<u>Group 2</u> : 81	dexmedetomidine, an	intraoperative
	day case surgery	μg.kg-1 intranasal	patients	intraoperative group that	administration of
		Dexmedetomidine		received 1 μg.kg-1 of	dexmedetomidine can
			Patients aged two	intravenous	lead to a lower
		- <u>Experimental</u>	to seven years who	dexmedetomidine and a	incidence of negative
		Group 2: (Intra-	were undergoing	control group that received	behavior on
		Operative) 1	day case	nasal spray of the same	postoperative day 28
		μg.kg-1	procedures.	volume of saline solution	compared to
		Intravenous		prepared by an intensive	premedication or the
		Dexmedetomidine		care nurse that appeared	absence of
				identical to the study drug.	dexmedetomidine, from
				The primary outcome, the	44% on day 3 to 15% on
				incidence of negative	day 28.
				behavior on postoperative	Dexmedetomidine was
				day 3, was similar between	shown to have an
				the three groups	analgesic effect, as the
				(dexmedetomidine	incidence of pain in the
				premedication group,	recovery period was
				dexmedetomidine	lower in the
				intraoperative group and	dexmedetomidine
				control group). However, on	groups compared to the
				postoperative day 28, the	control group. In
				intraoperative	addition, the children
				dexmedetomidine group had	who received
				a significantly lower	dexmedetomidine spent
				incidence of negative	an average of 11
				behavior compared to the	minutes longer in
				other two groups. Thus,	recovery and 33
				there was a significant	minutes longer in
				reduction in the incidence of	hospital.
				negative behavior in the	There were no
				intraoperative	significant differences in
				dexmedetomidine group	anxiety levels or
				from 44% on day 3 to 15%	parental satisfaction
				on day 28.	between the three
					groups.

differences between the groups in terms of anxiety levels.  The incidence of reported pain in recovery was lower in the dexmedetomidine groups compared to a to the control group.  There were no significant differences in terms of parental satisfaction between the three groups.  In conclusion, the study found that dexmedetomidine does not reduce the incidence of negative behavior and the third postoperative day in children aged two to seven undergoing outpatient procedures. However, there was a significant reduction in the incidence of negative behavior in the intraoperative dexmedetomidine group from 44% on day 3 to 15% on day 28.  Dexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an introoperative in bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an introoperative bused as premedication and as an introoperative in bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an introoperative in bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an introoperative in bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an introoperative in bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an introoperative in bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an introoperative in bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an introoperative in bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an introoperative in bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an introoperative in bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an introoperative in bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an introoperative in the procedures.								
groups in terms of anxiety levels.  The incidence of reported pain in recovery was lower in the dexmedetamidine groups compared to the control group.  There were no significant differences in terms of parental satisfaction between the three groups. In conclusion, the study found that dexmedetamidine does not reduce the incidence of parental satisfaction and the third postoperative day in children aged two to seven undergoing outpatient procedures. However, there was a significant reduction in the incidence of negative behavior in the introoperative dexmedetamidine group from 44% on day 3 to 15% on day 28.  Dexmedetamidine used as premedication and as an introoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetamidine used as premedication and as an introoperative used as gremedication and as an introoperative used as gremedication and as an introoperative used as premedication and as an introoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetamidine used as premedication and as an introoperative used as gremedication and as an introoperative used as premedication and as an introoperative to bus appears to be safeDexmedetamidine used as premedication and as an introoperative in the i							There were no significant	The study suggests that
The incidence of reported pain in recovery was lower in the dexmedetomidine groups compared to the control group.  There were no significant differences in terms of parental satisfaction between the three groups. In conclusion, the study found that dexmedetomidine does not reduce the incidence of negative behavior on the third postoperative day in children aged two to seven undergoing outpatient procedures. However, there was a significant reduction in the incidence of negative behavior in the intraoperative demedetomidine group from 44% on day 3 to 15% on day 28.  Dexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomian as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomian as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomian as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomian as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomian as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomian as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomian as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomian as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomian as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomian as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomian as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomian as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomian as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomian as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomian as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmediation and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmediation and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmediation and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmediation and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmediation and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmediation and as an intraoperative iv bolus and intraoperative iv bolus and intraoperative iv bolus and intraoperative iv bolu							1	
The incidence of reported pain in recovery was lower in the dexmedetomidine groups compared to the control group.  There were no significant differences in terms of parental satisfaction between the three groups. In conclusion, the study found that dexmedetomidine does not reduce the incidence of negative behavior on the third postoperative day in children aged two to seven undergoing outpatient procedures. However, there was a significant reduction in the incidence of negative behavior in the introoperative dexmedetomidine group from 44% on day 3 to 15% on day 28.  Dexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an introoperative looks and papears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an introoperative looks.							1	
pain in recovery was lower in the dexmedetomidine groups compared to the control group. There were no significant differences in terms of parental satisfaction between the three groups. In conclusion, the study found that dexmedetomidine does not reduce the incidence of negative behavior on the third postoperative day in children aged two to seven undergaing autpatient procedures. However, there was a significant reduction in the incidence of negative behavior in the intraoperative dexmedetomidine group from 44% on day 3t o.15% on day 28.  Dexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an introoperative to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an introoperative to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an introoperative to the safe premedication and as an introoperative to a spremedication and as an introoperative to a spremedication and as an introoperative to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an introoperative to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an introoperative to the safe that the safe the characteristic the safe that the safe that the characteristic that the safe that the characteristic that the characteristic that the safe that the characteristic that the safe that the characteristic that t								
the dexmedetomidine groups compand to the control group. There were no significant differences in terms of parental satisfaction between the three groups. In conclusion, the study found that dexmedetomidine does not reduce the incidence of negative behavior on the third postoperative day in children aged two to seven undergoing outpatient procedures. However, there was a significant reduction in the incidence of negative deavier of the intraoperative dexmedetomidine group from 44% on day 3 to 15% on day 28.  Dexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative it bolus appears to be safe Dexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative in the safe Dexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative in bolus appears to be								one-day procedures
groups compared to the control group. There were no significant differences in terms of parental satisfaction between the three groups. In conclusion, the study found that dexmedetendidine does not reduce the incidence of negative behavior on the third postoperative day in children aged two to seven undergoing outpatient procedures. However, there was a significant reduction in the incidence of negative behavior in the intraoperative development of the intraoperative of the intraoperative is bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an introoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be							pain in recovery was lower in	does not need to be
There were no significant differences in terms of parental satisfaction between the three groups. In conclusion, the study found that dexmedetomidine in the description of the parental satisfaction between the three groups. In conclusion, the study found that dexmedetomidine does not reduce the incidence of negative behavior on the third postoperative day in children aged two to seven undergoing outpatient procedures. However, there was a significant reduction in the incidence of negative behavior in the incidence of negative dexmedetomidine group from 44% on day 3 to 15% on day 28.  Dexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative in the safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedication and as an intraoperat							the dexmedetomidine	changed. But it also
There were no significant differences in terms of parental satisfaction between the three groups. In conclusion, the study found that dexmedetomidine does not reduce the incidence of negative behavior on the third postoperative day in children ged two to seven undergoing outpatient procedures. However, there was a significant reduction in the incidence of negative behavior in the intraoperative determined from the intraoperative in th							groups compared to the	suggests that there may
differences in terms of parental satisfaction between the three groups. In conclusion, the study found that dexmedatomidine does not reduce the incidence of negative behavior on the third postoperative day in children aged two to seven undergoing outpatient procedures. However, there was a significant reduction in the incidence of negative behavior in the intraoperative dexmedetomidine group from 44% on day 3 to 15% on day 28.  Dexmedatomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedatomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperatory.							control group.	be a benefit to using
parental satisfaction between the three groups. In conclusion, the study found that dexmedetomidine does not reduce the incidence of negative behavior on the third postoperative day in children aged two to seven undergoing outpatient procedures. However, there was a significant reduction in the incidence of negative behavior in the intraoperative dexmedetomidine group from 44% on day 3 to 15% on day 28. Dexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an							There were no significant	dexmedetomidine in
between the three groups. In conclusion, the study found that dexmedetomidine does not reduce the incidence of negative behavior on the third postoperative day in children aged two to seven undergoing outpatient procedures. However, there was a significant reduction in the incidence of negative behavior in the intraoperative dexmedetomidine group from 44% on day 3 to 15% on day 28.  Dexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an							differences in terms of	longer, more painful
In conclusion, the study found that dexmedetomidine does not reduce the incidence of negative behavior on the third postoperative day in children aged two to seven undergoing outpatient procedures. However, there was a significant reduction in the incidence of negative behavior in the intraoperative dexmedetomidine group from 44% on day 3 to 15% on day 28.  Dexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv solus appears to pe safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv polus appears to pe safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to pe safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to pe safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to pe safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to pe safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to pe safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to pe safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to pe safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus and the province in the third problems are the permeters and the permeters are the permeters and the permeters are the permete							parental satisfaction	procedures or in
found that dexmedetamidine does not reduce the incidence of negative behavior on the third postoperative day in children aged two to seven undergoing outpatient procedures. However, there was a significant reduction in the incidence of negative behavior in the intraoperative dexmedetomidine group from 44% on day 3 to 15% on day 28.  Dexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an							between the three groups.	children with well-
dexmedetomidine does not reduce the incidence of negative behavior on the third postoperative day in children aged two to seven undergoing outpatient procedures. However, there was a significant reduction in the incidence of negative behavior in the intraoperative dexmedetomidine group from 44% on day 3 to 15% on day 28.  Dexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative in bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative wholus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative wholus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative wholus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative wholus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative wholes are premed							In conclusion, the study	documented anxiety or
reduce the incidence of negative behavior on the third postoperative day in children aged two to seven undergoing outpatient procedures. However, there was a significant reduction in the incidence of negative behavior in the intraoperative dexmedetomidine group from 44% on day 3 to 15% on day 28.  Dexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv solus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus and intraoperative iv bolus and intraoperative iv bolus							found that	behavioral problems.
negative behavior on the third postoperative day in children aged two to seven undergoing outpatient procedures. However, there was a significant reduction in the incidence of negative behavior in the intraoperative dexmedetomidine group from 44% on day 3 to 15% on day 28.  Dexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative in the safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an an intraoperative in the safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an an an intraoperative in the safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an							dexmedetomidine does not	This offers an
third postoperative day in children aged two to seven undergoing outpatient procedures. However, there was a significant reduction in the incidence of negative behavior in the intraoperative dexmedetomidine group from 44% on day 3 to 15% on day 28.  Dexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an							reduce the incidence of	opportunity for future
children aged two to seven undergoing outpatient procedures. However, there was a significant reduction in the incidence of negative behavior in the intraoperative dexmedetomidine group from 44% on day 3 to 15% on day 28.  Dexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative to belus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an							negative behavior on the	research
undergoing outpatient procedures. However, there was a significant reduction in the incidence of negative behavior in the intraoperative dexmedetomidine group from 44% on day 3 to 15% on day 28.  Dexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an							third postoperative day in	
procedures. However, there was a significant reduction in the incidence of negative behavior in the intraoperative dexmedetomidine group from 44% on day 3 to 15% on day 28. Dexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an							children aged two to seven	
was a significant reduction in the incidence of negative behavior in the intraoperative dexmedetomidine group from 44% on day 3 to 15% on day 28.  Dexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an as an as premedication and as an as an as premedication and as an							undergoing outpatient	
in the incidence of negative behavior in the intraoperative dexmedetomidine group from 44% on day 3 to 15% on day 28. Dexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an							procedures. However, there	
behavior in the intraoperative dexmedetomidine group from 44% on day 3 to 15% on day 28.  Dexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an							was a significant reduction	
intraoperative dexmedetomidine group from 44% on day 3 to 15% on day 28. Dexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an							in the incidence of negative	
dexmedetomidine group from 44% on day 3 to 15% on day 28. Dexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an							behavior in the	
from 44% on day 3 to 15% on day 28.  Dexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an							intraoperative	
on day 28.  Dexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an							dexmedetomidine group	
Dexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an							from 44% on day 3 to 15%	
premedication and as an intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an							on day 28.	
intraoperative iv bolus appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an							Dexmedetomidine used as	
appears to be safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an							premedication and as an	
safeDexmedetomidine used as premedication and as an							intraoperative iv bolus	
as premedication and as an							appears to be	
as premedication and as an							safeDexmedetomidine used	
intrapperative iv bolus							1 -	
							intraoperative iv bolus	
appears to be safe.							appears to be safe.	
The objective of the Control Crown Brandonical -Control Group The study included 90 Continuous	Communican of the		The objective of the	Cantral Craire	Dandomizat	- <u>Control Group</u>	The study included 90	Continuous
Comparison of the study was to compare -Control Group   Randomized   (Group Cl. 30   natients who were randomly intrapperative		China	study was to compare				patients who were randomly	intraoperative
Effects of China the effects of Group C): Double Blina nationts divided into three groups: intravenous infusion in		cnina	the effects of			patients		intravenous infusion of
Dexmedetomidine and dexmedetomidine and demonstrate $0.2mL\cdot kg-1\cdot h-1$ Clinical Trial the control group (group C), lidocaine or	Dexineaetomiaine and		dexmedetomidine and	U.2mL·kg-1·n-1	Cilnical Trial		the control group (group C),	lidocaine or

Lida agina an Strass	lido orino on the stress	saling was infused	Fun arim antal	the lide seine group (group I)	daymandatamidina ann
Lidocaine on Stress	lidocaine on the stress	saline was infused	- <u>Experimental</u>	the lidocaine group (group L)	dexmedetomidine can
Response and	response and	intravenously.	Group 1 (Group	and the dexmedetomidine	reduce surgical stress
Postoperative	postoperative delirium		<u>L)</u> : 30 patients	group (group D).	and inflammatory
Delirium of Older	(POD) in older patients	- <u>Experimental</u>		Continuous intravenous	responses in elderly
Patients Undergoing	undergoing	Group 1 (Group	- <u>Experimental</u>	infusion of lidocaine or	patients undergoing
Thoracoscopic Surgery:	thoracoscopic surgery.	<u>L)</u> : 1.0	Group 2 (Group D):	dexmedetomidine	thoracoscopic surgery.
A Randomized	The study aimed to	mg·kg−1·h−1	29 patients	intraoperatively reduced	This suggests that these
Controlled	investigate the impact	lidocaine was		surgical stress and	drugs can be used to
Trial (Lai)	of these drugs on	infused	Patients aged >65	inflammatory responses.	manage the
	inflammatory factors	intravenously.	years undergoing	Cortisol concentrations	physiological response
	and cognitive function	- <u>Experimental</u>	elective	decreased in all three groups	to surgery in this
	in the patients	Group 2 (Group	thoracoscopic	at T1 compared to T0 but	population.
		<u>D)</u> : 1.0	lobectomy or	increased significantly at T2.	Lidocaine has a longer-
		μg∙kg−1·h−1	segmentectomy	Group L had significantly	lasting inhibitory effect
		dexmedetomidine		lower cortisol concentrations	on surgical stress
		was infused		than group D at T1 and T2.	compared to
		intravenously at		Interleukin-6 (IL-6)	dexmedetomidine,
		the induction of		concentrations were	lasting up to 24 hours
		anesthesia for 10		significantly higher in all	postoperatively. This
		min, followed by		three groups at T1, T2 and	indicates that lidocaine
		continuous		T3 compared to T0. Groups	may be a more effective
		infusion at a rate		D and L had significantly	option for controlling
		of 0.5		lower IL-6 concentrations	stress in the immediate
		μg·kg–1·h–1.		than group C at T1 and T2.	postoperative period.
				Group L had significantly	Dexmedetomidine is an
				lower IL-6 concentrations	α2-adrenergic receptor
				than group D at T2.	agonist with sedative,
				Tumor necrosis factor-α	analgesic,
				(TNF-α) concentrations were	sympatholytic and
				significantly higher for all	hemodynamic
				three groups at T1, T2 and	stabilizing properties,
				T3 compared to T0. Groups	and recent studies have
				D and L had significantly	shown that intravenous
				lower TNF-α concentrations	infusion of
				than group C at T1 and T2.	dexmedetomidine can
				Group D had significantly	exert anti-inflammatory
				higher TNF-α concentrations	effects. However, its
				than group L at T1.	ability to reduce post-
				There were no statistically	operative delirium has
				significant differences in the	not been established.
				incidence of postoperative	se see established.
		l	L	metacrice of postoperative	

					I	Г	
						delirium (POD) between the	However, neither the
						three groups on days 2 and	administration of
						7.	lidocaine nor
						Group L had lower	dexmedetomidine
						intraoperative sufentanil use	prevented
						compared to groups C and	postoperative delirium
						D. Group L also had a lower	in this study. This
						incidence of postoperative	suggests that additional
						nausea and vomiting	interventions may be
						compared to group C. The	needed to treat this
						duration of postoperative	common complication
						extubation was longer in	in elderly surgical
						group D compared to groups	patients.
						C and L.	Both lidocaine and
						Overall, the study suggests	dexmedetomidine are
						that continuous	widely used and low-
						intraoperative intravenous	cost drugs, which makes
						infusion of lidocaine or	them affordable options
						dexmedetomidine can	for controlling surgical
						reduce surgical stress and	stress and
						inflammatory responses in	inflammation. However,
						elderly patients undergoing	more research is needed
						thoracoscopic surgery.	to investigate their
						However, the administration	long-term effects and
						of either drug failed to	impact on clinical
						prevent postoperative	outcomes.
						delirium. It is important to	
						note that the research result	
						provided is not a full-text	
						article and may not contain	
						all the details of the study	
						results. For a more	
						comprehensive	
						understanding of the study	
						results, we recommend	
						accessing the full text of the	
						article provided in the	
						attached file.	
Single-bolus		The objective of the	- <u>Control Group:</u>	Randomized	- <u>Control Group</u> : 51	The study included a total of	The study suggests that
dexmedetomidine in	India	study was to investigate	<u>еотто втоар</u> . Volume-matched	Double Blind	patients	101 patients, with 50	a single bolus dose of
prevention of		the efficacy of a single-	normal Saline	Clinical Trial	p	patients receiving	dexmedetomidine can
prevention of		the ejjicacy of a single-	normar Junit	Chinear IIIal	l	patients receiving	ackineactornianic can

emergence delirium in	bolus dose of		- Experimental	dexmedetomidine and 51	be used effectively to
pediatric ophthalmic	dexmedetomidine in	- <u>Experimental</u>	Group: 50 patients	patients receiving normal	prevent emergency
surgeries: A	reducing the incidence	Group:		saline as a control group.	delirium (ED) in
randomized controlled	of emergence delirium	Dexmedetomidine	Patients from 2 to	The demographic and	pediatric ophthalmic
trial (Surya)	(ED) in pediatric	0.4 μg/kg as a	12 years old in	perioperative characteristics	surgery, reducing the
	ophthalmic surgeries.	single bolus over	ophthalmologic	of both groups were similar,	need for rescue
	Additionally, the study	10 min	surgery	except for a higher number	analgesia without
	aimed to assess pain	immediately after		of children aged between 1	compromising
	relief, the number of	intubation		and 7 years in the	hemodynamic
	patients who needed			dexmedetomidine group.	parameters. This finding
	rescue analgesia,			The administration of	is significant because ED
	hemodynamic			dexmedetomidine 0.4 μg/kg	is a common
	parameters, and			in a single bolus over 10	postoperative
	adverse events.			minutes immediately after	neurological
				intubation significantly	complication that
				reduced the incidence of	causes behavioral
				emergence delirium (ED) and	disturbances leading to
				pain compared to the	self-trauma and also
				control group. The incidence	has long-term adverse
				of ED was significantly lower	effects in children
				in group D	The administration of
				(dexmedetomidine group)	dexmedetomidine can
				compared to group C	significantly reduce the
				(control group) (2.0% vs.	incidence of ED and
				58.8%, P < 0.0001), and the	pain in children
				incidence of severe ED was	undergoing ophthalmic
				significantly lower in group	surgery. However, the
				D compared to group C (0%	study also found that
				vs. 5.9%, P = 0.00), the	the presence of parents
				incidence of pain was	in the post-anesthetic
				significantly lower in group	recovery room (PACU)
				D compared to group C (14%	can help reduce the
				vs. 58.8%, P < 0.0001) and	incidence of erectile
				the need for rescue	dysfunction in children
				analgesia was significantly	undergoing ophthalmic
				lower in group D compared	surgery.
				to group C (6% vs. 46%, P <	By reducing the need for
				0.0001).	rescue analgesia,
				Hemodynamic parameters	dexmedetomidine can
				such as heart rate (HR),	minimize the use of
				systolic blood pressure (SBP)	additional medications

						and diastolic blood pressure	and their associated
						(DBP) were monitored	side effects. Thus,
						throughout the procedure.	healthcare professionals
						The administration of	involved in pediatric
						dexmedetomidine resulted in	ophthalmic surgery may
						a significant decrease in HR	consider incorporating
						at 5 minutes and SBP at 15	dexmedetomidine as
						minutes compared to the	part of their anesthetic
						control group.	management to
						The study concluded that a	improve patient comfort
						single bolus dose of	and reduce the risk of
						dexmedetomidine effectively	ED.
						prevented emergency	Overall, the study
						delirium and reduced the	suggests that
						need for rescue analgesia	dexmedetomidine 0.4
						without compromising	μg/kg as a single bolus
						hemodynamic parameters in	over 10 minutes
						children undergoing	immediately after
						ophthalmic surgery.	intubation is an
							effective and safe
							option for reducing the
							incidence of ED and
							pain in children
							undergoing ophthalmic
							surgery without
							compromising
							hemodynamic
							parameters. The study's
							findings have important
							clinical and practical
							implications for
							anesthesiologists and
							surgeons performing
							pediatric ophthalmic
							surgery.
Effect of		This study aimed to	-Control Group		-Group CONT: 25	The study included 100	Dexmedetomidine,
Dexmedetomidine,		evaluate the effects of	(Group CONT):	Danda::	patients	patients who were randomly	dexamethasone, and
Dexamethasone, and	Egypt y	dexmedetomidine,	received normal	Randomized	•	assigned to 4 groups: the	ondansetron are
Ondansetron on	Arabia	dexamethasone, and	saline via infusion	Double Blind	-Group DEX: 25	DEX group, the OND group,	effective in preventing
Postoperative Nausea		ondansetron for	after induction of	Clinical Trial	patients	the DEXMED group and the	postoperative nausea
and Vomiting in		preventing PONV in	anesthesia.			CONT group. The DEX group	and vomiting (PONV) in
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					<u>.                                      </u>

Children Undergoing	children undergoing		-Group OND: 25	received dexamethasone,	pediatric patients
Dental Rehabilitation: A	dental rehabilitation	- <u>Experimental</u>	patients	the OND group received	undergoing dental
Randomized Controlled	surgery.	Group 1 (Group	<i>p</i>	ondansetron, the DEXMED	rehabilitation surgery.
Trial (Shama)	3a. gc. y.	DEX): received	-Group DEXMED:	group received	Dexmedetomidine has a
(3.3.3.7)		0.15 mg/kg	25 patients	dexmedetomidine and the	better sedative and
		Dexamethasone	20 partients	CONT group received saline,	analgesic effect
		via infusion.		each group containing 25	compared to
		yuu.u		patients.	dexamethasone and
		-Experimental	Patients aged 6-12	Demographic data, including	ondansetron.
		Group 2 (Group	years old who were	age, gender, ASA I or II	The optimal dose of
		OND): received	scheduled for	physical status classification,	dexmedetomidine for
		0.05 mg/kg	dental	body weight, surgery and	better effect on PONV
		Ondansetron via	rehabilitation	duration of anesthesia, were	without affecting
		infusion.	surgery under	comparable between the	hemodynamic stability
		,	general anesthesia	groups.	requires more studies.
		-Experimental	3	The number of children who	The study provides
		Group 3 (Group		developed delirious agitation	evidence-based
		DEXMED):		postoperatively was	information for
		received 0.3 μg/kg		significantly lower in the	clinicians to choose the
		Dexmedetomidine		group receiving	appropriate medication
		via infusion.		dexmedetomidine compared	for preventing PONV in
				to the groups receiving	pediatric patients
				dexamethasone,	undergoing dental
				ondansetron and the control	rehabilitation surgery.
				group.	The study highlights the
				Postoperative pain scores	importance of
				were significantly reduced in	preventing PONV in
				the groups receiving	pediatric patients to
				dexmedetomidine and	avoid complications
				ondansetron compared to	such as wound
				the control group at	dehiscence, prolonged
				different times.	hospital admission,
				The incidence of	readmission,
				postoperative nausea and	dehydration, and
				vomiting (PONV) was	electrolyte imbalance.
				significantly lower in the	The study suggests that
				DEX, DEXMED and OND	dexmedetomidine can
				groups compared to the	be used as an
				CONT group (P < 0.05).	alternative to
				However, the incidence of	dexamethasone and
				PONV was not significantly	ondansetron for

		I	1		I		
						different between the DEX,	preventing PONV in
						DEXMED and OND groups (P	pediatric patients
						> 0.05).	undergoing dental
						The number of patients	rehabilitation surgery,
						requiring rescue antiemetics	especially in cases
						was significantly lower in the	where sedation and
						DEX, DEXMED and OND	analgesia are also
						groups compared to the	required.
						CONT group (P < 0.05).	The study provides a
						However, the number of	basis for further
						patients requiring rescue	research to investigate
						antiemetics was not	the optimal dose of
						significantly different	dexmedetomidine for
						between the DEX, DEXMED	preventing PONV in
						and OND groups (P > 0.05).	pediatric patients
						The level for all analyses was	undergoing dental
						set at P < 0.05.	rehabilitation surgery
							without affecting
							hemodynamic stability
							1.
							Overall, the study has
							important
							clinical/practical
							implications for
							preventing PONV in
							pediatric patients
							undergoing dental
							rehabilitation surgery,
							and it provides valuable
							information for
							clinicians to choose the
							appropriate medication
							for their patients.
		The objective of the	- <u>Control Group</u> :		Cantral Crains	A total of 310 patients were	The administration of
Effect of		study was to evaluate	Normal Saline, 2		- <u>Control Group</u> :	included in the modified	low-dose
Dexmedetomidine on		the effects of	mL	Danda:-:	154 patients	intention-to-treat analysis,	dexmedetomidine
Posttraumatic Stress	Ch:	intraoperative and	- Experimental	Randomized	Francisco control	with 154 patients in the	during and after
Disorder in Patients	China	postoperative low-dose	Group:	Double Blind	- Experimental	normal saline group and 156	emergency trauma
Undergoing Emergency		intravenous pumping	Dexmedetomidine	Clinical Trial	<u>Group</u> : 156	patients in the	surgery can reduce the
Trauma Surgery. (Yu)		dexmedetomidine on	hydrochloride, 200		patients	dexmedetomidine group.	incidence of post-
		posttraumatic stress	μg/2 mL			The study found that	traumatic stress
		•				· -	

disorder (PTSD) among	Patients	s with intraoperative and	disorder (PTSD) in
patients with trauma	traun	na postoperative	trauma patients. Thus,
undergoing emergency		administration of low-dose	dexmedetomidine can
surgery.		intravenous pump	be used as a sedative
		dexmedetomidine reduced	during and after surgery
		the incidence of PTSD among	in trauma patients,
		trauma patients undergoing	under appropriate
		emergency surgery.	conditions, to help
		The first outcome, the	prevent the
		incidence of post-traumatic	development of PTSD,
		stress disorder (PTSD), was	as it found that CAPS-5
		significantly lower in the	scores and the incidence
		dexmedetomidine group	of PTSD were
		compared to the control	significantly lower in the
		group in the first	dexmedetomidine group
		postoperative month (14.1%	compared to the control
		vs. 24.0%, p = 0.03). Patients	group 1 month after
		in the dexmedetomidine	surgery, indicating that
		group scored significantly	dexmedetomidine can
		lower on the clinician-	reduce the severity and
		administered PTSD Scale for	occurrence of PTSD in
		the Diagnostic and	trauma patients in the
		Statistical Manual of Mental	emergency room.
		Disorders (CAPS-5)	In summary, the study
		compared to the control	suggests that
		group (7.3 [5.3] vs 18.9 [6.6];	intraoperative and
		mean difference, 1.65; 95%	postoperative
		CI, 0.31-2.99; P = 0.02).	administration of
		After adjusting for possible	dexmedetomidine by
		confounding factors,	intravenous pumping in
		patients in the	low doses could be used
		dexmedetomidine group	as a preventive measure
		were less likely to develop	for PTSD in trauma
		PTSD than those in the	patients in the
		control group in the first	emergency room. The
		postoperative month	study provides evidence
		(adjusted odds ratio, 0.51).	that early anesthetic
		The results of this study	management can
		support the perioperative	prevent the occurrence
		use of dexmedetomidine to	of PTSD in trauma
		reduce the incidence of PTSD	patients in the

		The objective of the				in trauma patients undergoing emergency surgery. None of the trauma patients developed postoperative stroke, myocardial infarction, acute kidney injury or heart failure.  The study included 56 cases	emergency room. The study also suggests that low dose dexmedetomidine pumped during and after emergency trauma surgery was safe and did not cause circulatory instability. These findings could have significant clinical/practical implications for the management of PTSD in trauma patients undergoing emergency surgery.  The study suggests that
In a secondary analysis from a randomised, double-blind placebo-controlled trial Dexmedetomidine blocks cholinergic dysregulation in delirium pathogene esis in patients with major surgery (Jacob)	Germany	study discussed in the search result was to investigate the link between blood cholinesterase activities and dexmedetomidine, an alpha-2 adrenoreceptor agonist, in patients with major abdominal or cardiac surgery. The study aimed to determine whether dexmedetomidine could alleviate postoperative delirium (POD) via altering the cholinergic anti-inflammatory pathway (CAIP). The study was a secondary analysis of a randomized, double-blind, placebo-	- <u>Control Group</u> : Equivalent volume of Normal Saline - <u>Experimental</u> <u>Group</u> : 0,7 μg/kg PC/h e 0,4 μg/kg PC/h de Dexmedetomidina	Randomized Double Blind Clinical Trial	- <u>Control Group</u> : 30 patients. - <u>Experimental</u> <u>Group</u> : 26 patients. Abdominal or cardiac surgical patients aged≥ 60 years.	of complete measurements of cholinesterase activity, with 30 patients receiving standard general anesthesia (placebo) and 26 patients receiving dexmedetomidine in addition to general anesthesia.  Dexmedetomidine resulted in no change in AChE activity and caused a rapid recovery of BChE activity after an initial decrease, while placebo showed a significant decrease in both cholinesterase activities. Thus, it was found that the use of dexmedetomidine resulted in a significantly lower incidence of postoperative delirium (POD) by altering the cholinergic anti-	the perioperative use of dexmedetomidine may have practical implications in reducing the incidence of postoperative delirium (POD). Thus, it was found that administration of dexmedetomidine stabilizes acetylcholinesterase (AChE) activity levels and promotes rapid recovery of butyrylcholinesterase (BChE) activity after surgery, while placebo showed a steady postoperative decline in both enzyme activities. These findings indicate a possible association

controlled trial that inflammatory pathway between recently showed a lower dexmedetomidine and (CAIP), acting on incidence of POD in the cholinesterase activity the regulation of dexmedetomidine Dexmedetomidine cholinesterase activities, administration attenuated which are involved in group. The study analyzed the course of NF-κB activation and the the cholinergic antiperioperative production of proinflammatory pathway cholinesterase activities inflammatory cytokines in (CAIP). Thus, the antimice with LPS-induced of 56 patients, inflammatory and measured inflammation. The results of immunomodulatory preoperatively and this study suggest a properties of dexmedetomidine may twice postoperatively. regulatory effect of The objective of the dexmedetomidine on the contribute to its study was to examine cholinergic system, potential to relieve POD by increasing CAIP. whether the use of supporting the role of the dexmedetomidine in cholinergic system in the Further research is addition to general pathogenesis of delirium. needed to validate anesthesia alters the these results and perioperative course of examine the use of acetylcholinesterase dexmedetomidine in (AChE) and homogeneous butyrylcholinesterase populations, with the (BChE) activity. The statistical power to study found that address this question dexmedetomidine effectively. In addition, resulted in no change in the study highlights the AChE activity and role of the cholinergic caused a rapid recovery system in the of BChE activity after an pathogenesis of initial decrease, while delirium and suggests placebo showed a that dexmedetomidine significant decrease in may have a regulatory both cholinesterase effect on the cholinergic activities. From these system, providing data, it can be assumed information for future that dexmedetomidine research and possible could alleviate POD via clinical applications. altering the cholinergic anti-inflammatory pathway (CAIP). The study advocates for

		further investigations to show the direct connection between dexmedetomidine and cholinesterase activity  Postoperative nausea			- <u>Control Group</u> : 41 patients	The study compared the effects of different doses of dexmedetomidine (DEX) on the incidence of postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) in pediatric patients undergoing strabismus surgery. It found that the overall incidence of PONV during the first 24 hours post-operation was significantly lower in the DEX2 aroun (0.5 ua/ka	The study's findings have several clinical and practical implications for the use of dexmedetomidine in pediatric patients undergoing strabismus surgery. The study showed that dexmedetomidine can be used as a supplemental drug to reduce the incidence of
Effect of dexmedetomidine on prevention of postoperative nausea and vomiting in pediatric strabismus surgery: a randomized controlled study.	China	Postoperative nausea and vomiting (PONV) are common side-effects following strabismus surgery. The present study aimed to compare the effects of different doses of dexmedetomidine (DEX) on PONV incidence in pediatric patients undergoing strabismus surgery	- <u>Control Group</u> : Placebo, normal saline  - <u>Experimental</u> <u>Group 1</u> : 0.3 μg/kg dexmedetomidine  - <u>Experimental</u> <u>Group 2</u> : 0.5 μg/kg dexmedetomidine	Randomized Double Blind Clinical Trial		hours post-operation was	be used as a

			between the three groups	can cause bradycardia
			during recovery time.	and hypotension, which
				can lead to serious
				complications. The
				study used lower doses
				of dexmedetomidine to
				reduce the incidence of
				adverse events such as
				bradycardia and
				hypotension, which are
				associated with higher
				doses of
				dexmedetomidine. The
				study concluded that
				dexmedetomidine (0.5
				μg/kg) reduced OCR and
				PONV without
				lengthening extubation
				time or recovery time in
				pediatric patients
				undergoing strabismus
				surgery. The study's
				findings suggest that
				dexmedetomidine can
				be used as an effective
				and safe antiemetic
				drug in pediatric
				patients undergoing
				strabismus surgery.
				However, the study also
				mentioned that the
				optimal dose of
				dexmedetomidine for
				achieving anti-emetic
				effects has not been
				well documented, and
				that the sedative effect
				of dexmedetomidine is
				dose dependent.
				Therefore, further
		 		studies are needed to

							determine the optimal
							dose of
							dexmedetomidine for
							different surgical procedures and patient
							l '
Postoperative infusion of dexmedetomidine via intravenous patient-controlled analgesia for prevention of postoperative delirium in elderly patients undergoing surgery	China	Postoperative delirium (POD) is a common clinical complication in elderly patients after surgery and predicts poor outcomes. The aim of the study was to investigate whether postoperative infusion of dexmedetomidine (DEX) had a prophylactic effect on POD in elderly patients.	-Group Control: 3 ug/kg sufentanil without Dexmedetomidine  -Experimental Group: 3 ug/kg sufentanil and 3 ug/kg Dexmedetomidine	Randomized Double Blind Clinical Trial	-Group Control: 116 patients  -Experimental Group: 120 patients  Patients over the age of 60 undergoing thoracoabdominal tumor surgery.	The study included 236 patients over 60 years of age undergoing thoracoabdominal tumor surgery, with 120 patients in the DEX group and 116 patients in the control group. The incidence of postoperative delirium (POD) in all patients was 7%. However, the incidence of postoperative delirium (POD) in the control group was significantly higher than in the DEX group (10.1% vs. 3.4%, P = 0.042). There were no significant differences in length of hospital stay, length of ICU stay, percentage of patients discharged within 7 days of surgery, non-delirium- related complications and all-cause deaths within 30 days between the two groups. The incidence of hypertension was lower in the DEX group compared to the control group (P = 0.003). However, the incidence of non-delirium- related complications was	populations.  **Practical Implications of the Paper:**  - Administering dexmedetomidine (DEX) via intravenous patient-controlled analgesia (PCIA) after major thoracoabdominal surgery in elderly patients may help reduce the occurrence of postoperative delirium (POD) The study found that the incidence of POD was significantly lower in the DEX group compared to the control group This finding suggests that incorporating DEX into postoperative pain management protocols may be beneficial in preventing POD in elderly patients undergoing surgery The use of DEX via PCIA can potentially improve patient outcomes by reducing the incidence of delirium, which can lead to prolonged hospital

			similar between the two	stays, increased
			groups.	resource utilization, and
			The study found that	poor functional
			postoperative infusion of	recovery.
			dexmedetomidine via	- Additionally, the study
			patient-controlled	showed that the use of
			intravenous analgesia (PCA)	DEX did not significantly
			can reduce the incidence of	affect other outcomes
			postoperative delirium in	such as length of
			elderly patients undergoing	hospital stay, ICU stay
			major thoracoabdominal	time, non-delirium
			•	complications, and 30-
			surgery. The primary	•
			endpoint of the study was	day all-cause deaths.
			the incidence of POD,	Nata The constinal
			assessed twice daily within 7	Note: The practical
			days of surgery by the	implications of this
			Richmond Agitation-	paper suggest that
			Sedation Scale (RASS) and	incorporating DEX into
			the Confusion Assessment	postoperative pain
			Method - Intensive Care Unit	management protocols
			(CAM-ICU). Secondary	may be a valuable
			outcomes were days of	strategy to prevent
			postoperative	postoperative delirium
			hospitalization, length of ICU	in elderly patients
			stay, adverse events and	undergoing major
			complications not related to	thoracoabdominal
			delirium. The study involved	surgery.
			236 patients aged over 60,	
			who were randomly	
			assigned to the DEX group	
			(Group D) or the control	
			group (Group C). DEX was	
			delivered via PCIA pump 1-3	
			days after surgery, which	
			consisted of 3 ug/kg	
			sufentanil and 3 ug/kg DEX	
			in Group D, and 3 ug/kg	
			sufentanil without DEX in	
			Group C. The PCIA	
			parameters were	
			programmed as follows:	

Effects of dexmedetomidine at different dosages on perioperative hemodynamics and postoperative recovery quality in elderly patients undergoing hip replacement surgery. under general anesthesia: a randomized controlled trial	China	To evaluate the effects of different doses of dexmedetomidine on hemodynamics during surgery and recovery after general anesthesia in elderly patients undergoing hip replacement.	-Control Group 1 (NS Group): received normal saline 0.1 ml/kg for 15 min before anesthesia induction + 0.125 ml/kg/h continuous infusion until the end of operation.  -Control Group 2 (MD Group): received midazolam 0.03 mg/kg for anesthesia induction.  -Experimental Group 1 (D0.25 Group): received dexmedetomidine 0.25 μg/kg for 15 min before anesthesia induction + 0.5 μg/kg/h	Randomized Double Blind Clinical Trial	-Control Group 1 (NS Group): 30 patients.  -Control Group 2 (MD Group): 30 patients.  -Experimental Group 1 (D0.25 Group): 30 patients.  -Experimental Group 2 (D0.5 Group): 30 patients.  -Experimental Group 3 (D0.75 Group): 30 patients.	total amount of 150 ml, bolus dose of 2 ml with a 10 min block and background infusion rate of 2 ml/h.  Dexmedetomidine in different doses (0.25/0.5/0.75 µg/kg) was administered to elderly patients undergoing hip replacement surgery under general anesthesia.  Compared to the control groups, there were significant reductions in mean arterial pressure (MAP) and heart rate (HR) in the D0.5 and D0.75 groups at various times during the perioperative period.  The percentage of patients with reductions in MAP and HR >20% from baseline was higher in the D0.5 and D0.75 groups compared to the other groups. The 95% confidence interval (CI) of the relative risk (RR) for MAP below >20% of baseline in the D0.5 and D0.75 groups was greater than 1, indicating a higher risk of MAP reduction.  No serious side effects were	Dexmedetomidine can be used in elderly patients undergoing hip replacement surgery under general anesthesia to relieve postoperative agitation without causing delayed recovery. In addition, at a dose of 0.25 to 0.5 µg/kg as an initial loading dose, followed by a continuous infusion of 0.5 µg/kg/h, it can provide a comfortable recovery after general anesthesia with mild hemodynamic inhibition.  However, care should be taken when using higher doses of dexmedetomidine, as it can cause significant reductions in mean arterial pressure (MAP) and heart rate (HR) during the perioperative
under general anesthesia: a randomized		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Group): received dexmedetomidine 0.25 μg/kg for 15 min before anesthesia induction + 0.5		patients <u>Experimental</u> <u>Group 3 (D0.75</u> <u>Group)</u> : 30	the relative risk (RR) for MAP below >20% of baseline in the D0.5 and D0.75 groups was greater than 1, indicating a higher risk of MAP reduction.	higher doses of dexmedetomidine, as it can cause significant reductions in mean arterial pressure (MAP) and heart rate (HR)

		I	0 5 a //ca for 15			Havener daymandatar::dir-	Down adata midin s
			0.5 μg/kg for 15			However, dexmedetomidine	Dexmedetomidine can
			min before			was able to relieve agitation	effectively relieve
			anesthesia			in elderly patients	emergency agitation or
			induction + 0.5			undergoing hip arthroplasty	delirium during the
			μg/kg/h			after intravenous general	recovery period after
			continuous			anesthesia combined with	general anesthesia and
			infusion until the			inhaled sevoflurane, and	may have potential
			end of operation.			there was no delay in	benefits in reducing
						awakening from general	postoperative pain in
			- <u>Experimental</u>			anesthesia. According to the	elderly patients
			Group 3 (D0.75			Riker Agitated Sedation	undergoing hip
			Group): received			Scale, dexmedetomidine	replacement surgery.
			dexmedetomidine			significantly relieved	Further research is
			0.75 μg/kg for 15			emergency agitation or	needed to determine
			min before			delirium compared to SN	the optimal dosage and
			anesthesia				administration regimen
			induction + 0.5				of dexmedetomidine in
			μg/kg/h				elderly patients
			continuous				undergoing hip
			infusion until the				replacement surgery to
			end of operation.				achieve satisfactory
							sedation and analgesia
							while maintaining
							stable hemodynamics.
						The administration of	The administration of
		Bleeding after				dexmedetomidine	dexmedetomidine
		thyroidectomy occurs				significantly reduced the	during recovery from
		due to violent coughing	- <u>Control Group</u>		- <u>Control Group</u> : 70	incidence of severe cough	anesthesia can
Dexmedetomidine		during emergence.	(Group S): Normal		patients	(4.3% vs. 11.5%) and	effectively reduce
decreased the post-		Dexmedetomidine	Saline was		ρατιεπτέ	emergency agitation (7.9%	postoperative bleeding
•			administered		Eunorimontal	vs. 20.1%) compared to the	
thyroidectomy bleeding		is helpful for the smooth		Randomized	- <u>Experimental</u>	control group, and	after thyroidectomy by
by reducing cough and	China	emergence and	- <u>Experimental</u>	Double Blind	<u>Group</u> : 69 patients	postoperative bleeding was	suppressing coughing
emergence agitation –		suppression of cough.	Group (Group D):	Clinical Trial	Double into (ACA ! !!	significantly lower in the	and emergent agitation.
a randomized,		The purpose of the	Dexmedetomidine		Patients (ASA I–II,	dexmedetomidine group by	Thus, it can be
double-blind, controlled		present study was to	was administered		aged 20 to 60	the second postoperative	considered a useful
study		compare the effects of	(0.6 μg/kg/h)		years) undergoing	day.	intervention to minimize
		dexmedetomidine on	without a loading.		thyroidectomy	There were no significant	the risk of postoperative
		postoperative bleeding				differences in patient	bleeding in patients
		after thyroidectom				characteristics, duration of	undergoing
						surgery, amount of	thyroidectomy.
		1			l	gc. // acac oj	

	I		1		I		
						intraoperative fluid and	Doctors may consider
						duration of study drug	using dexmedetomidine
						infusion between the two	(0.6 μg/kg/h) without a
						groups.	loading dose as a
						Hemodynamic data showed	preventative measure to
						little change during the	decrease the incidence
						infusion of the study drugs,	of severe cough and
						with no significant	emergence agitation,
						differences in mean arterial	which are known risk
						pressure between the two	factors for post-
						groups. However, heart rate	operative bleeding after
						was significantly lower in the	thyroidectomy.
						dexmedetomidine group	However, further
						immediately before	evaluation is required to
						extubation.	determine the optimal
						The Ramsay sedation scale	dosing method and
						scores were significantly	infusion rate of
						higher in the	dexmedetomidine to
						dexmedetomidine group,	reduce coughing and
						indicating a calmer state in	emergence agitation.
						the post-anesthetic care	Overall, the study
						unit. Overall, the results	suggests that
						suggest that the	dexmedetomidine may
						administration of	be a useful drug in
						dexmedetomidine during	reducing post-operative
						recovery from anesthesia	bleeding after
						can effectively reduce	thyroidectomy by
						postoperative bleeding by	reducing cough and
							agitation on awakening.
						suppressing coughing and	
						emergency agitation.	However, more studies
							are needed to confirm
							these results and
							determine the optimal
							dose and timing of
							dexmedetomidine
							administration.
Analysis of anesthetic		The objective of the	- <u>Control Group</u> :		- <u>Control Group</u> : 26	The experimental group,	The use of
effect of		study, as stated in the	normal saline in	Randomized	patients.	which received continuous	dexmedetomidine (DEX)
dexmedetomidine	China	research paper, was to	the same volume	Double Blind		dexmedetomidine (DEX)	during femoral shaft
in femoral shaft		investigate the effect of	and time.	Clinical Trial	- <u>Experimental</u>	pumping during anesthesia,	fracture surgery can
fracture surgery		dexmedetomidine (DEX)			Group: 26 patients.	had significantly lower mean	effectively stabilize
		, , ,	- I		·		,

	an hamadunamias	Fun arima ant -1	1	antonial processor (MAD)	nationts!
	on hemodynamics and	- <u>Experimental</u>		arterial pressure (MAP) and	patients'
	recovery period after	Group:		heart rate (HR) compared to	hemodynamics, as
	femoral shaft fracture	Dexmedetomidine	Patients, aged	the control group at times	evidenced by
	surgery. The study	was 1 ug/kg in the	between 3 and 7	T2 to T4. The extubation	significantly lower mean
	aimed to compare the	first 10 minutes,	years, who	time of the experimental	arterial pressure (MAP)
	effects of DEX and	and then the	underwent surgery	group was longer than that	and heart rate (HR) in
	propofol, which is the	maintenance dose	to reduce a	of the control group.	the experimental group
	most used sedative	was 0.5 ug/(kg/h)	diaphyseal fracture	However, the Pediatric	compared to the control
	anesthetic in clinical		of the femur.	Anesthesia Emergence	group. It may also help
	practice, on various			Delirium (PAED) score and	to reduce the incidence
	parameters such as			the incidence of agitation in	of postoperative
	mean arterial pressure			the recovery period were	agitation during
	(MAP), heart rate (HR),			lower in the experimental	recovery from
	extubation time,			group compared to the	anesthesia, as indicated
	agitation score, and			control group at times T5 to	by lower Pediatric
	agitation rate.			<i>T7</i> .	Anesthesia Emergence
				In conclusion, the study	Delirium (PAED) scores
				found that intravenous	and lower rates of
				anesthesia combined with	agitation in the
				continuous DEX pumping	experimental group.
				can effectively stabilize	DEX has a highly
				patients' hemodynamics and	selective α2-adrenergic
				reduce the incidence of	receptor agonist effect,
				postoperative agitation	which can reduce and
				during anesthesia recovery.	mitigate adverse
				The study suggests that DEX	reactions as much as
				can be used as an adjuvant	possible. As well as this,
				drug for general anesthesia	it has a certain
				in femoral shaft fracture	neuroprotective effect
				surgery to improve patient	on the developing brain,
				comfort during the	without affecting
				perioperative period.	memory, and is more
				, ,	suitable for the
					developing brain and
					can awaken at any time
					during sedation, and
					sedation also has a
					protective effect on the
					nervous system.
					However, it is important
					to note that the use of
1	1	1	1		

							DEX can prolong extubation time, which should be considered in clinical practice. Overall, the results suggest that incorporating dexmedetomidine into intravenous anesthesia for femoral shaft fracture surgery can bring practical benefits
							in terms of stabilizing hemodynamics and reducing post-operative agitation. However, the possible impact on extubation time should be taken into account when considering its use.
Ketamine Enhances Intranasal Dexmedetomidine- Induced Sedation in Children: A Randomized, Double- Blind Trial	China	The study aimed to compare the sedative effects of dexmedetomidine alone versus a combination of dexmedetomidine and ketamine in pediatric patients undergoing surgery under general anesthesia. The study measured the duration of sedation, ease of parental separation, and facemask acceptance scores, as well as the Modified Observer's Assessment of Alertness/Sedation Scale (MOAA/S) scores after intervention.	- <u>Control Group</u> ( <u>Group DK)</u> : Ketamine 2 mg kg–1 and Dexmedetomidine 2 μg kg–1 - <u>Experimental</u> Group (Group D): Dexmedetomidine 2 μg kg–1	Randomized Double Blind Clinical Trial	- Control Group (Group DK): 33 patients at the beginning and 31 at the end.  - Experimental Group (Group D): 33 patients at the beginning and 32 at the end.  Patients from 3 to 7 year old undergoing surgery under general.	The study included 66 children, with 63 children included in the analysis. There were no significant differences in subject characteristics or clinical parameters between the two groups. However, the combination of intranasal dexmedetomidine and ketamine produced better sedation for pediatric tonsillectomy than dexmedetomidine alone.  30 minutes after premedication, the level of sedation assessed by the Modified Observer's Assessment of Alertness/Sedation Scale (MOAA/S) was lower in the	Pre-medication with a combination of intranasal dexmedetomidine and ketamine can improve sedation in preschool children undergoing tonsillectomy, compared to dexmedetomidine alone. This finding suggests that combination therapy may be a more effective option for sedation in this patient population. The use of intranasal premedication of dexmedetomidine and ketamine is associated with improved sedation

			group receiving	and higher scores on
			dexmedetomidine and	the Pediatric Sedation
			ketamine (Group DK)	Assessment Score
			compared to the group	(PSAS) and the Modified
			receiving dexmedetomidine	Aldrete Score (MAS)
			alone (Group D). The median	compared to
			difference in the MOAA/S	dexmedetomidine
			score was 1.0 (95%	alone. This indicates
			confidence interval [CI]: 1.0-	that combination
			2.0, P<0.001).	therapy can provide
			Group DK showed a	better sedation quality
			considerably faster onset of	and patient satisfaction.
			sedation (15 minutes, 95%	Importantly,
			CI: 14.2-15.8 min) compared	combination therapy
			to Group D (24 minutes, 95%	does not prolong
			CI: 23.2-24.8 min), with a	emergency time or
			mean difference of 8.0	increase the risk of
			minutes (95% CI: 7.0-9.0	clinically relevant
			min, P<0.001).	adverse events. This
			The parental separation	suggests that it is a safe
			anxiety and face mask	and well-tolerated
			acceptance scores were	option for
				premedication in
			lower in Group DK compared to Group D. However, there	pediatric tonsillectomy.
			were no significant	In summary, the study
			differences between the two	suggests that the
			groups in terms of	intranasal combination of dexmedetomidine
			emergency time, incidence	
			of emergency delirium,	and ketamine may be a
			postoperative pain scores,	safe and effective
			length of stay in the PACU	premedication for
			and adverse effects.	pediatric tonsillectomy,
				which may improve the
				quality of care for
				pediatric patients
				undergoing surgery
				under general
				anesthesia. The
				combination can
				provide better sedation,
				faster onset of sedation

The aim of the study is to explore the effects of dexmedetomidine (DEX) on functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and emergency agitation in patients undergoing routine anesthesia. The emergency agitation of patients undergoing routine anesthesia surgery with sevoflurane under China Chin								and prevent the decline in heart rate seen in patients treated with dexmedetomidine alone. The combination can also help children
The aim of the study is to explore the effects of dexmedetomidine (DEX) on functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and emergency agitation in patients undergoing routine anesthesia. The emergency agitation of Patients with Dexmedetomidine (Dexident) and emergency agitation of Patients with Dexmedetomidine (Dexident) and emergency agitation of Patients with Dexmedetomidine (Dexident) assisted anesthesia and er Comportable nursing intervention, significantly reduced the occurrence of emergency agitation in patients undergoing ageneral anesthesia surgery with sevoflurane under comfortable nursing intervention, significantly reduced the occurrence of emergency agitation in patients undergoing general anesthesia surgery with sevoflurane under comfortable nursing intervention, significantly reduced the occurrence of emergency agitation in patients undergoing ageneral anesthesia surgery with sevoflurane. It also led to a decrease in heart rate, mean exhesia surgery with sevoflurane. It also led to a decrease in heart rate, mean decrease in heart rate, mean decrease in heart								
The aim of the study is to explore the effects of dexmedetomidine (DEX) on functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and emergency agitation in patients undergoing routine anesthesia. The emergency agitation of Patients with Dexmedetomidine Assisted General Anesthesia under Comfortable nursing Intervention Pottone Nursing Intervention  Nursing Int								
The aim of the study is to explore the effects of dexmedetomidine (DEX) on functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and emergency agitation of patients undergoing routine anesthesia. The emergency agitation of Patients with Dexmedetomidine. Assisted General Anesthesia under Comfortable Nursing Intervention  Nursing Intervention  Were  Intravenously purped with 0.9% NaCl solution at the soution at the sevoflurane under comfortable nursing and anesthesia undergoing upper abdominal severe randomly divided into a control group (routine nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia) and group B intervention.  Intervention of the study is to explore the effects of dexmedetomidine (DEX) assisted anesthesia together with a comfortable nursing intervention, significantly reduced the occurrence of emergency agitation in patients undergoing general anesthesia surgery with sevoflurane. It laso led to a decrease in heart rate, mean astission in patients undergoing general anesthesia surgery with sevoflurane under comfortable nursing and anesthesia undergoing upper abdominal surgery were selected. According to nursing and anesthesia were randomly divided into a control group (routine nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia) and group B intervention.  In plyRa/h and emergency agitation of patients undergoing general anesthesia button time, and posterity and patients undergoing upper abdominal.  In plyRa/h and the solution under comfortable nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia broader with a comfortable nursing intervention, significantly reduced the occurrence of emergency agitation in patients undergoing general anesthesia to decrease in heart rate, mean attention extension and anesthesia induction under routine nursing and patients undergoing upper abdominal.  In plyRa/h another the courtrence of emergency agitation in patients undergoing general anesthesia to adecrease in heart rate, mean attention angeticular to a control group and patients undergoing upper abdominal.  In plyRa/h another the courtrence of emergency agitation in pati								·
The aim of the study is to explore the effects of dexmedetomidine (DEX) on functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and emergency agitation in patients undergoing routine anesthesia. The emergency agitation of Patients with a comportable anesthesia surgery with sordinary with a comportable nursing intervention. Significantly reduced the nursing intervention, significantly reduced the nursing intervention, significantly reduced the nursing intervention, significantly reduced the nore occurrence of emergency agitation in patients undergoing or patients undergoing general anesthesia surgery with sordinary educed the same speed.  **Experimental Group 1 (Group A): 22 patients and expolar on the surgery with a comfortable nursing intervention. Sevoflurane. It also led to a decrease in heart rate, mean arterial pressure, awakening time, extubation time, Riker's sedation and anesthesia undergoing upper abdominal surgery were selected. According to nursing and anesthesia in methods, the patients were randomly divided into a control group (routine nursing and anesthesia) group A (routine nursing and anesthesia) and group B (routine nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia); and emergency agitation in patients undergoing general anesthesia surgery with sevoflurane. It also led to a decrease in heart rate, mean arterial pressure, awakening time, extubation time, Riker's sedation and anesthesic admentable of the cocurrence of emergency agitation in patients undergoing upper abdominal surgery were selected. According to nursing and anesthesia induction under anesthesia, together with a comfortable nursing intervention, significantly reduced the occurrence of emergency agitation in patients undergoing apper and exoflurane. It also led to a decrease in heart rate, mean arterial pressure, awakening time, extubation time, Riker's sedation and anesthesia diduction under induction under anesthesia display. The patients undergoing upper abdominal surgery were selected. According to nursing and anesthesia induction under anesthesia i								1
to explore the effects of dexmedetomidine (DEX) on functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and emergency agitation in patients undergoing routine anesthesia. The emergency agitation of patients undergoing general anesthesia surgery with Sewoflurane under China Dexmedetomidine Assisted General Anesthesia under Comfortable Nursing Intervention  Energence Agitation of Patients with Dexmedetomidine Assisted General Anesthesia under Comfortable Nursing Intervention  Energence Agitation of Patients with Dexmedetomidine Assisted General Anesthesia under comfortable Nursing Intervention  Energence Agitation of Patients with Dexmedetomidine Assisted General Anesthesia under going upper abdominal surgery were selected. According to nursing and anesthesia methods, the patients were randomly divided into a control group (routine nursing and anesthesia), group A (routine nursing and DeX-assisted an group B)  Experimental Group 2 (Group B):  Experimental Group 1 (Group A):  1 µg/kg/h and anesthesia methods, the patients were randomly divided anesthesia induction under routine nursing and anesthesia anesthesia), or patients were randomly divided into a control group (routine nursing and DeX-assisted anesthesia) induction under anesthesia) and group B (routine nursing and DeX-assisted anesthesia) induction under routine nursing and DeX-assisted anesthesia, together with a comfortable anesthesia, together with a comfortable anesthesia, open decented the occurrence of emergency 2 patients seven/furane. It also led to a decrease in heart rate, mean anesthesia braind anesthesia anest								
dexmedetomidine (DEX) on functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) and emergency agitation in patients undergoing routine anesthesia. The emergency agitation of Patients with Dexmedetomidine Assisted General Anesthesia under Comfortable Nursing Intervention.  Nacional Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Brain Function and Emergence Agitation of Patients with Dexmedetomidine Assisted General Anesthesia under Comfortable Nursing Intervention  Nacional Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Brain Function and Emergence Agitation of Patients with Dexmedetomidine Assisted General Anesthesia under Comfortable Nursing Intervention  Nacional Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Brain Function and Emergence Agitation of Patients with Dexmedetomidine Assisted General Anesthesia under Comfortable Nursing Intervention  Nacional Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Brain Function and Emergence Agitation of Patients with Dexmedetomidine Assisted General Anesthesia under Gorigo upper abdominal surgery were selected. According to nursing and anesthesia methods, the patients were randomly divided into a control group (routine nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia) into a control group (routine nursing and DEX-assisted  Dexmedetomidine Assisted According to nursing intervention,  Significantly reduced the occurrence of emergency agitation in patients undergoing general anesthesia surgery with sevoflurane. It also led to a decrease in heart rate, mean arcterial pressure, awakening time, extubation time, Riker's sedation and gigitation scale (SAS) score and anesthesia darcesia in haptients undergoing upper abdominal.  Patients undergoing upper abdominal.  The results suggest that  The results suggest that								
on functional magnetic resonance imaging (ffMR) and emergency agitation in patients undergoing routine anesthesis. The emergency agitation of Patients with Dexmedetomidine-Assisted General Anesthesia under Comfortable Nursing Intervention Nursing Intervention Nursing Intervention Nursing Intervention OEX-assisted anesthesia) and sorted in an acsthesia of Dex-assisted anesthesia) and sethesia and of Dex-assisted anesthesion of Comportable nursing and anesthesia of Dex-assisted anesthesia induction under anesthesia of Dex-assisted anesthesia induction under anesthesia anesthesia) and pour B of Dex-assisted anesthesia anesthesia anesthesia anesthesia anesthesia anesthesia anesthesia anesthesia anesthesia) and group B intervention.  Intraventonal Magnetic resonance imaging (ffMR) and emergency agitation in patients undergoing agitation of patients undergoing agitation of patients undergoing agitation of patients undergoing ageneral anesthesia surgery with sevoflurane. It also led to a decrease in heart rate, mean arterial pressures, ewakening time, extubation time, significantly reduced the coccurrence of emergency agitation in patients undergoing anesthesia surgery with sevoflurane. It also led to a decrease in heart rate, mean arterial pressures, ewakening time, extubation time, exibation in patients undergoing general anesthesia anesthesia bacterial pressures, ewakening time, extubation time, post-anesthetic and anesthetic dosage, while increasing and anesthetic dosage, while increasing and anesthetic dosage, while indercation in patients undergoing general anesthesia bacterial pressures, ewakening time, extubation time, post-anesthetic are undirely properation. Patients surgery were surgery were readmit properation of post-anesthetic care unit (PACU) s								' '
Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Brain Function and Emergence Agitation of Patients with Dexmedetomidine-Assisted General Anesthesia undergoing upper abdominal surgery were selected. According to nursing and anesthesial methods, the patients were randomly divided into a control group (routine nursing and anesthesia), group A (routine nursing and anesthesia), group A (routine nursing and anesthesia) anesthesia), group A (routine nursing and anesthesia) anesthesia) and servestical on anesthesia) and servestical anesthesia) and poroup B (routine nursing and anesthesia) anesthesia) anesthesia) anesthesia) anesthesia) anesthesia) group A (routine nursing and anesthesia) and proup B intervention.							-	, ,
(ffMRI) and emergency agitation in patients undergoing routine anesthesia. The emergency agitation of Patients undergoing of Brain Function and Emergence Agitation of Patients with Dexmedetomidine-Assisted General Anesthesia under Comfortable Nursing Intervention  Nursing Intervention  Wight and emergency agitation of patients with anesthesia, The emergency agitation of patients undergoing general anesthesia and ercomfortable nursing and anesthesia were randomly divided into a control group (routine nursing and anesthesia), group A (routine nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia) and group B (four nursing and anesthesia) and group B (four nursing and for patients) and for the same speed.  Solution at the solution at the same speed. Solution at the same speed.  Solution at the same speed. Solution at the same speed.  Solution at the same speed.  Solution at the same speed.  Solution at the same speed.  Solution at the same speed.  Solution at the same speed.  Solution at the same speed.  Solution at the same speed.  Solution at the same speed.  Solution at the same speed.  Solution at the same speed.  Solution at the same speed.  Solution at the same speed.  Solution at the same speed.  Solution at the cocurrence of emergency agitation in patients undergoing general anesthesia surgery with sevoflurane. It also led to a decrease in heart rate, mean anterial pressure, awakening time, extubation time, Riker's sedation and agitation scale (SAS) score and anesthesia belief to better patient outcomes and satisfaction with not occurrence of emergency agitation in patients undergoing general anesthesia surgery with sevoflurane. It also led to a decrease in heart rate, mean anterial pressure, awakening time, extubation time, Riker's sedation and agitation scale (SAS) score and anesthesia belief to better patient outcomes and satisfaction with nursing and anesthesia outcreasing antervention.  Solution in the decrease in heart rate, mean anterial pressure, awakening time, extubation time, Riker's sedation and agitation in pat			,	,			,	-
Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Brain Function and Emergence Agitation of Patients with Dexmedetomidine- Assisted General Anesthesia under going upper abdominal surgery were selected. According to nursing and anesthesia methods, the patients were randomly divided into a control group (routine nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia), group A (routine nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia) and group B (routine nursing and DEX-sassisted anesthesia) and group B (routine nursing and DEX-sassisted anesthesia) and group B (routine nursing and anesthesia) and group B (routine nursing and DEX-sassisted anesthesia) and group B (routine nursing and anesthesia) and group B (routine nursing and DEX-sassisted anesthesia) and group B (routine nursing and anesthesia) and group B (routine nursing and DEX-sassisted anesthesia) and group B (routine nursing and anesthesia) and group B (routine nursing and DEX-sassisted anesthesia) intervention.			5 5	, ,			3 , ,	,
Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Brain Function and Emergence Agitation of Patients with Dexmedetomidine-Assisted General Anesthesia under Comfortable Nursing Intervention  Mursing Intervent								
Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Brain Function and Emergence Agitation of Patients with Dexmedetomidine—Assisted General Anesthesia under Comfortable Nursing Intervention  Warsing Intervention  A greeived General Anesthesia methods, the patients were randomly divided into a control group (routine nursing and anesthesia), group A (routine nursing and anesthesia) induction under DEX-assisted anesthesia) induction under anesthesia induction under comfort and be Dex-assisted anesthesia induction under comfort and be Dex-assisted anesthesia induction under comfort and anesthesia methods, the patients were randomly divided into a control group (routine nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia) induction under comfort and anesthesia) induction under comfortable into a control group (routine nursing and anesthesia) induction under anesthesia) induction under comfort and be Dex-assisted anesthesia induction under comfort and be Dex-assisted anesthesia induction under comfort and anesthesia induction under comfort and be decrease in heart rate, mean afterial pressure, awakening time, extubation time, Riker's sedation and agitation scale (SAS) score and anothesic dosage, while intervention.  Patients undergoing upper abdominal.  Patients undergoing anesthesia surgery with sevoflurane. It also led to a decrease in heart rate, mean afterial pressure, awakening time, extubation time, Riker's sedation and agitation scale (SAS) score and anesthesic a surgery with sevoflurane. It also led to a decrease in heart rate, mean afterial pressure, awakening time, extubation time, Riker's sedation and agitation scale (SAS) score and anotative dosage, while increasing Ramsay scores, post-anesthetic care unit (PACU) stay and anesthesia maintenance time. Group B (comfortable nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia) showed better results core unit (PACU) stay and hospital stay, as well as increasing nursing satisfaction with a reduction in extubation time, sevoflurane.  Patients Group 1 (Group B): a patients and extraction decrease in hear							,	•
Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Brain Function and Emergence Agitation of Patients with Dexmedetomidine- Assisted General Anesthesia under Comfortable Nursing Intervention with emethods, the patients were randomly divided into a control group (routine nursing and anesthesia), group A (routine nursing and anesthesia), group A (routine nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia) and group B intervention.  emergency agitation of patients undergoing general anesthesia surgery with sevoflurane under comfortable nursing general anesthesia surgery with sevoflurane under comfortable nursing intervention.  Emergence Agitation of Patients with Dexmedetomidine Assisted General Anesthesia under Comfortable Nursing Intervention.  Emergence Agitation of Patients with Dexmedetomidine Assisted General Anesthesia under Comfortable nursing and anesthesia intuction under selected. According to nursing and anesthesia methods, the patients were randomly divided into a control group (routine nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia) induction under comfort nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia) intervention.			5 5	same speed.				
Resonance Imaging of Brain Function and Emergence Agitation of Patients with Dexmedetomidine- Assisted General Anesthesia under Comfortable Nursing Intervention Nursing Intervention Nursing Intervention Potation and anesthesia into a control group (routine nursing and anesthesia), group A (routine nursing and anesthesia) and group B (PACU) stay and presults surgery with sevoflurane under rate, mean arterial pressure, awakening time, extubation time, Riker's sedation and arterial pressure, awakening time, extubation time, Riker's sedation and arterial pressure, awakening time, extubation time, Riker's sedation and aretrial pressure, awakening time, extubation time, Riker's sedation and aretrial pressure, awakening time, extubation time, Riker's sedation and aretrial pressure, awakening time, extubation time, Riker's sedation and aretrial pressure, awakening time, extubation time, Riker's sedation and aretrial pressure, awakening time, extubation time, Riker's sedation and aretrial pressure, awakening time, extubation time, Riker's sedation and aretrial pressure, awakening time, extubation time, Riker's sedation and aretrial pressure, awakening time, extubation time, Riker's sedation and aretrial pressure, awakening time, extubation time, Riker's sedation and aretrial pressure, awakening time, extubation time, Riker's sedation scale (SAS) score, post-anesthesia aretrial pressure, awakening time, extubation time, Riker's sedation and aretrial pressure, awakening time, extubation time, Riker's sedation and aretrial pressure, awakening time, extubation time, Riker's sedation and aretrial pressure, awakening time, extubation time, Riker's sedation and aretrial pressure, awakening time, extubation time, Riker's sedation scale (SAS) score, post-anesthesia aretrial pressure, awakening time, extubation time, Riker's sedation and aretrial pressure, awakening time, extubation time, Riker's sedation and aretrial pressure, aretria				-Experimental		patients		
Brain Function and Emergence Agitation of Patients with Dexmedetomidine- Assisted General Anesthesia under Comfortable Nursing Intervention  Nursing Intervention  Mursing Intervention   Mursing Intervention  Mursing Intervention  Mursing Intervention  Mursing Intervention  Mursing Intervention  Mursing Intervention  Mursing Intervention  Mursing Intervention  Mursing Intervention  Mursing Intervention  Mursing Intervention  Mursing Intervention  Mursing Intervention  Mursing Intervention  Mursing Inte								
Emergence Agitation of Patients with Dexmedetomidine-Assisted General Anesthesia under Comfortable Nursing Intervention  Nursing Intervention  Nursing Intervention  Dexmedetomidine-Assisted General Anesthesia under Comfortable Nursing Intervention  Nursing Intervention  Nursing Intervention  Dexmedetomidine-Assisted General Anesthesia under Comfortable Nursing Intervention  Nursing Intervention  Dexmedetomidine-Assisted General Anesthesia undergoing upper abdominal surgery were selected. According to nursing and anesthesia methods, the patients were randomly divided into a control group (routine nursing and anesthesia), group A (routine nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia) and group B (routine nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia) and group B intervention.  Surgery with sevoflurane under sevoflurane under comfortable nursing induction under routine nursing and Double Blind clinical Trial Group 2 (Group B): 22 patients  Patients undergoing upper abdominal.  (routine nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia) showed better results core unit (PACU) stay and nesthesia by reducing extubation time, gait sincreasing and forout particular (PACU) stay and hospital stay, as well as increasing nursing satisfaction with nursing care. However, comfortable nursing and anesthesia by reducing extubation time, and anesthesia induction and anesthesia broat and anesthetic dosage, while increasing Ramsay scores, post-anesthetic care unit (PACU) stay and anesthesia of time, extubation and anesthesia satisfaction with nursing and anesthesia of comfortable nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia of time, extubation and anesthesia satisfaction with nursing and anesthesia of comfortable nursing and anesthesia o	5 5 ,			A): received			arterial pressure, awakening	- ,
Patients with Dexmedetomidine-Assisted General Anesthesia under Comfortable Nursing Intervention  Nursing Intervention  Patients with Dexmedetomidine-Assisted General Anesthesia under Comfortable Nursing Intervention  Nursing Intervention  Patients with Dexmedetomidine-Assisted General Anesthesia under Comfortable Nursing Intervention  Nursing Intervention  Patients undergoing upper abdominal surgery were selected. According to nursing and anesthesia methods, the patients were randomly divided into a control group (routine nursing and anesthesia), group A (routine nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia) and satisfaction with and anesthetic dosage, while induction under routine nursing and post-anesthetic care unit undergoing upper abdominal.  Patients undergoing upper abdominal surgery were selected. According to nursing and anesthesia methods, the patients were randomly divided into a control group (routine nursing and anesthesia), group A (routine nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia) induction under Comfort nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia) induction under anesthesia induction under comfort nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia), with a reduction in extubation time, scores.  SAS score, PACU stay and anisatisation with anisatispaction with and anesthetic dosage, while increasing Ramsay scores, post-anesthetic dosage, while increasing Ramsay scores, post-anesthetic dosage, while increasing Ramsay scores, post-anesthetic dosage, while increasing Patients undergoing upper abdominal.  Patients			surgery with	Dexmedetomidine			time, extubation time,	lead to better patient
Dexmedetomidine- Assisted General Anesthesia under Comfortable Nursing Intervention  Nursing Intervention  China comfortable nursing intervention, 66 patients undergoing upper abdominal surgery were selected. According to nursing and anesthesia methods, the patients were randomly divided into a control group (routine nursing and anesthesia), group A (routine nursing and anesthesia), group A (routine nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia) and group B (routine nursing and anesthesia) and group B intervention.  China comfortable nursing and clinical Trial induction under routine nursing and clinical Trial Group 2 (Group B):  Patients undergoing upper abdominal.  DEX-assisted anesthesia in intervention.  Dexmedetomidine routine nursing and anesthetic dosage, while increasing Ramsay scores, comfortable nursing and comfortable nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia by reducing extubation time, post-anesthesia time, post-anesthesia by reducing extubation time, post-anesthesia and hospital stay, as well as increasing nursing satisfaction reduction in extubation time, scores.  SAS score, PACU stay and The results suggest that			sevoflurane under	1 μg/kg/h	Randomized	22 patients	Riker's sedation and	outcomes and
Assisted General Anesthesia under Comfortable Nursing Intervention  Nursing Intervention  Nursing Intervention  Assisted General Anesthesia under Comfortable Nursing Intervention  Nursing Intervention  Nursing Intervention  Assisted General Anesthesia under Comfortable Nursing Intervention  Nursing Intervention  According to nursing and anesthesia methods, the patients were randomly divided into a control group (routine nursing and anesthesia), group A (routine nursing and anesthesia), group A (routine nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia) induction under Comfort nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia) induction under anesthesia) and group B intervention.  Induction under routine nursing and control group B 22 patients  Patients undergoing upper abdominal.  Patients undergoing upper abdominal.  Patients undergoing upper abdominal.  Potalents undergoing upper abdominal.  Patients undergoing upper abdominal anesthesia braintervention can further enhance the benefits of maintenance time. Group B (comfortable nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia) showed better results care unit (PACU) stay and nesthesia braintervention and under abdominal.  DEX-assisted anesthesia induction under anesthesia induction under comfort nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia), with a reduction in extubation time, scores.  The results suggest that		China	comfortable nursing	anesthesia	Double Blind	Evnorimental	agitation scale (SAS) score	satisfaction with
General Anesthesia under Comfortable Nursing Intervention  Selected. According to nursing and anesthesia methods, the patients were randomly divided into a control group (routine nursing and anesthesia), group A (routine nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia) and group B (routine nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia) induction under anesthesia) and group B intervention.  1			intervention, 66 patients	induction under	Clinical Trial		and anesthetic dosage, while	nursing care. However,
abdominal surgery were selected. According to nursing and anesthesia methods, the patients were randomly divided into a control group (routine nursing and anesthesia), group A (routine nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia) and group B (routine nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia) and group B anesthesia) and group B intervention.    Abdominal surgery were selected. According to nursing and anesthesia methods, the patients were randomly divided into a control group (routine nursing and anesthesia), group A (routine nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia) induction under comfort nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia) intervention.    Abdominal surgery were selected. According to nursing and anesthesia intervention.    Patients undergoing upper abdominal.   Patients undergoing upper abdominal.   DEX-assisted anesthesia   by reducing extubation time, care unit (PACU) stay and anesthesia by reducing extubation time, care unit (PACU) stay and anesthesia   by reducing extubation time, care unit (PACU) stay and anesthesia   by reducing extubation time, care unit (PACU) stay and anesthesia   by reducing extubation time, care unit (PACU) stay and anesthesia   by reducing extubation time, care unit (PACU) stay and anesthesia   by reducing extubation time, care unit (PACU) stay and anesthesia   by reducing extubation time, care unit (PACU) stay and anesthesia   by reducing extubation time, care unit (PACU) stay and anesthesia   by reducing extubation time, care unit (PACU) stay and anesthesia   by reducing extubation time, care unit (PACU) stay and anesthesia   by reducing extubation time, care unit (PACU) stay and anesthesia   by reducing extubation time, care unit (PACU) stay and anesthesia   by reducing extubation time, care unit (PACU) stay and anesthesia   by reducing extubation time, care unit (PACU) stay and anesthesia   by reducing extubation time, care unit (PACU) stay and anesthesia   by reducing extubation   care unit (PACU) stay and anesthesia   care unit (PACU) stay and anesthesia   care unit (PACU) stay and anesthes				routine nursing			increasing Ramsay scores,	
Nursing Intervention  Patients undergoing upper abdominal.  Patients undergoing upper abdominal.  Patients undergoing upper abdominal.  DEX-assisted anesthesia) showed better results care unit (PACU) stay and anesthesia by reducing extubation time, post-anesthesia care unit (PACU) stay and hospital stay, as (routine nursing and DEX- assisted anesthesia), with a reduction in extubation time, SAS score, PACU stay and The results suggest that				intervention.		22 patients	1 .	_
nursing and anesthesia methods, the patients were randomly divided into a control group (routine nursing and anesthesia), group A (routine nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia) induction under DEX-assisted anesthesia) induction under DEX-assisted anesthesia) induction under anesthesia) intervention.    Dex-assisted anesthesia (comfortable nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia) showed better results care unit (PACU) stay and hospital stay, as (routine nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia), with a reduction in extubation time, scores.    Dex-assisted anesthesia by reducing extubation time, care unit (PACU) stay and nonline, assisted anesthesia), with a reduction in extubation time, scores.    Dex-assisted anesthesia by reducing extubation time, care unit (PACU) stay and nonline, assisted anesthesia).	-		_			Patients	1 ' '	
methods, the patients were randomly divided into a control group (routine nursing and anesthesia), group A (routine nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia) and group B intervention.    Comfortable nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia) time, post-anesthesia care unit (PACU) stay and better results care unit (PACU) stay and hospital stay, as (routine nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia), with a reduction in extubation time, scores.    Comfortable nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia) time, post-anesthesia care unit (PACU) stay and hospital stay, as (routine nursing and DEX-assisted anesthesia), with a reduction in extubation time, scores.	Training intervention							
were randomly divided into a control group (routine nursing and anesthesia) pexmedetomidine (routine nursing and induction under pexmedetomidine (routine nursing and pexmedetomidine nursing and pexmedetomidine (routine nursing and pexmedetomidine nursing and pexmedetomidine nursing and pexmedetomidine nursing and pexmedetomidine (routine nursing and pexmedetomidine nursing and pexmedetomidine nursing and pexmedetomidine nursing and pexmedetomidine nurs						3 3	, ,	, ,
(routine nursing and anesthesia), group A anesthesia anesthesia), group A anesthesia (routine nursing and compared to group A and hospital stay, as well as increasing assisted anesthesia), with a nursing satisfaction pextubation time, anesthesia) and group B intervention.    Compared to group A and hospital stay, as well as increasing assisted anesthesia), with a nursing satisfaction reduction in extubation time, scores.    SAS score, PACU stay and   The results suggest that				3				· •
anesthesia), group A anesthesia (routine nursing and DEX- well as increasing assisted anesthesia), with a nursing satisfaction pex-assisted comfort nursing and group B intervention. (routine nursing and DEX- well as increasing assisted anesthesia), with a nursing satisfaction reduction in extubation time, scores.  SAS score, PACU stay and The results suggest that								• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
(routine nursing and DEX-assistedinduction under comfort nursingassisted anesthesia), with a reduction in extubation time, SAS score, PACU stay andnursing satisfaction scores.anesthesia) and group Bintervention.SAS score, PACU stay andThe results suggest that								
DEX-assisted comfort nursing anesthesia) and group B intervention. reduction in extubation time, scores.  SAS score, PACU stay and The results suggest that							· ·	
anesthesia) and group B intervention. SAS score, PACU stay and The results suggest that			_				,,,	,
				,				
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I			(comfortable nursing	ווונפו עפוונוטוו.			length of hospital stay, and	the combination of DEX-

assisted anesthesia and and DEX-assisted an increase in the nursing anesthesia). Differences satisfaction score. a comfortable nursing in brain fMRI The length of hospital stay intervention may be a characteristics, was significantly reduced, valuable approach to hemodynamic indices, and the nursing satisfaction avoid emergency agitation and improve anesthesia recovery score was evidently rates and nursing increased in group B the patient experience satisfaction in the compared to the control during the perioperative period. However, future perioperative period group and group A. were evaluated. However, temporal lobe research should functional connectivity Zevaluate changes in the scores increased in group A functional connectivity of various brain regions and group B compared to the control group, while in patients with those of the hippocampus different anesthesia decreased. There was no methods before and after surgery. In significant difference in the functional connectivity Zaddition, analysis of the values between the different mechanism of DEX in brain regions in each group. the emergency The amount of remifentanil agitation induced by and sevoflurane use was general anesthesia with reduced in groups A and B sevoflurane through compared to the control animal model group. There was no experiments may considerable difference in provide more the amount of remifentanil information. and sevoflurane use *In addition, the study* between group A and group highlights the importance of careful Heart rate was notably nursing during the lower in group A and group perioperative period to B compared to the control reduce anxiety and fear, group at times T2, T3, T4, T5 improve complications and T6. during the recovery In summary, the study period and enhance the suggests that the use of DEX overall effects of in combination with nursing and treatment. sevoflurane during general anesthesia surgery, together with a comfortable nursing

					I	The leastle of the first	distriction of the
						The length of stay in the	displacement of the
						post-anesthetic care unit	corrected fracture.
						(PACU) was longer in the	Dexmedetomidine can
						dexmedetomidine group, but	be used as an adjuvant
						the anesthesia time was	anesthetic to help
						shorter. However, there was	maintain stable
						no significant difference in	intraoperative
						numerical rating scale (NRS)	anesthesia, leading to
						pain scores between the two	more stable
						groups.	maintenance of
						Overall, the study suggests	anesthesia and less
						that preoperative	movement during
						administration of	surgery. With this, the
						dexmedetomidine may be an	study highlights the
						effective strategy for	possible benefits of
						reducing the incidence and	dexmedetomidine in
						severity of agitation on	reducing agitation and
						awakening in adults	improving the overall
						undergoing closed reduction	surgical experience for
						of nasal bone fractures. The	patients undergoing
						results of the study may	closed reduction of
						have important implications	nasal bone fractures. It
						for the management of	provides evidence for
						patients undergoing this	the use of
						type of surgery.	dexmedetomidine as a
						,, , , , ,	preoperative
							medication in this
							specific surgical context.
			-Experimental		-Experimental	The study included 81	The study is that the
The Comparison of the		Emergence Agitation	Group (Group A):		Group (Group A):	children undergoing oral	late administration of
Efficacy of Early versus		(EA) is a dissociated	received		41 patients.	surgery and randomly	dexmedetomidine 1
Late Administration of		state of consciousness	dexmedetomidine		,	assigned them to two	μg/kg during the last 10
Dexmedetomidine on		characterized by	infusion during the		-Experimental	groups: early administration	minutes of surgery is a
Postoperative		irritability,	first 10 minutes	Randomized	Group (Group B):	of dexmedetomidine (group	safe and effective
Emergence Agitation in	Iran	uncompromising stance,	and saline solution	Double Blind	40 patients.	A, n=41) and late	choice for reducing the
Children		and inconsolability. The	during the last 10	Clinical Trial	<i>p</i>	administration of	incidence of emergence
Undergoing Oral		etiology of EA is not	minutes of		Patients aged	dexmedetomidine (group B,	agitation (EA) in
Surgeries: A		completely understood.	surgery.		between 5 and 70	n=40).	children undergoing
Randomized		Dexmedetomidine is a	5 /		months who had	The early group (Group A)	oral surgeries. The study
Clinical Trial.		highly selective α2-	-Experimental		undergone	had a significantly shorter	found that the late
		adrenoreceptor agonist	Group (Group B):		adenotonsillectomy	extubation time compared	administration of
		<u> </u>					

Efficacy of		with sedative and analgesic properties, which has been used to reduce the incidence of EA. We aimed to assess the efficacy of early versus late administration of dexmedetomidine on EA in children undergoing oral surgery	received saline solution during the first 10 minutes and dexmedetomidine infusion during the last 10 minutes of surgery.		or cleft palate repair surgery.	to the late group (9.59-3.15 vs. 15.43-8.40 min, P<0.001). While the late group (Group B) had a lower FLACC pain score (2.0±1.5 vs. 4.2±1.6, P<0.001) and a higher Ramsay sedation score (3.5±1.4 vs. 1.8±0.8, P<0.001) compared to the early group. There was no significant difference between the groups in terms of demographic data, total anesthesia time, operative time and length of stay in the PACU. However, delayed administration of dexmedetomidine reduced the incidence of emergency agitation (EA) and improved postoperative pain control.	dexmedetomidine provided better sedation and analgesia than the early administration during the first 10 minutes of surgery. The study also showed that the late administration of dexmedetomidine reduced the incidence of EA and post-anesthesia care unit (PACU) length of stay and improved postoperative pain management. Therefore, the study suggests that dexmedetomidine can be used as an adjuvant to sevoflurane anesthesia to reduce the incidence of EA in children undergoing oral surgeries. The study also highlights the importance of choosing the most appropriate technique or drug to reduce the incidence of EA toward smooth recovery from anesthesia.
premedication with intranasal dexmedetomidine for removal of inhaled foreign bodies in children by flexible	China	foreign body aspiration in children is a life- threatening, emergent situation. Currently, the use of fiberoptic bronchoscopy	Normal Saline used was 0.01 ml. kg <sup>-1</sup> . - <u>Experimental</u> <u>Group</u> : dose of	Randomized Double Blind Clinical Trial	- <u>Control Group</u> : 20 patients. - <u>Experimental</u> <u>Group</u> : 20 patients.	premedication with intranasal dexmedetomidine at a dose of 1 µg-kg-1 administered 25 minutes before induction of anesthesia significantly	for the management of tracheobronchial foreign body aspiration in children. The study found that intranasal dexmedetomidine at a

fiberoptic	for removing foreign	intranasal		Tracheobronchial	reduced the incidence of	dose of 1 μg·kg-1
bronchoscopy: a	bodies is attracting	Dexmedetomidine		foreign body	adverse events during	administered 25
randomized,	increasing attention.	used in the study		aspiration in	fiberoptic bronchoscopy in	minutes before
double-blind, placebo-	Oxygen	was 1 μg·kg <sup>-1</sup> ,		patients aged 6 to	children, including	anesthesia induction
controlled clinical	desaturation, body	administered 25		48 months.	laryngospasm, breath	can reduce the
trial.	movement,	minutes before			holding and coughing.	incidence of adverse
	laryngospasm,	anesthesia			Patients who received	events during fiberoptic
	bronchospasm, and	induction			intranasal dexmedetomidine	bronchoscopy under
	breath-holding are				had lower parent-child	inhalation general
	common adverse events				separation scores, better	anesthesia with
	during foreign body				tolerance to the anesthetic	sevoflurane. The use of
	removal.				mask and lower sevoflurane	intranasal
	Dexmedetomidine, as a				consumption compared to	dexmedetomidine can
	highly selective α2-				those who received saline.	reduce the incidence of
	adrenergic agonist,				Dexmedetomidine also	laryngospasm, breath-
	produces sedative and				reduced the frequency of	holding, and coughing
	analgesic effects and				postoperative agitation	during foreign body
	does not induce				without prolonging recovery	removal, which are
	respiratory depression.				time. In addition, the	common adverse events
	We hypothesized that				incidence of CO2 retention	during the procedure.
	intranasal				was significantly lower in the	Patients who received
	dexmedetomidine at				dexmedetomidine group,	intranasal
	1 μg kg – 1				and patients who received	dexmedetomidine also
	administered 25 min				dexmedetomidine needed	had a lower parent-
	before anesthesia				less rescue medication	child separation score,
	induction can reduce				during the procedure.	more satisfactory
	the incidence of adverse					tolerance of the
	events during					anesthetic mask, and
	fiberoptic bronchoscopy					less consumption of
	under inhalation					sevoflurane. The
	general anesthesia with					frequency of
	sevoflurane.					postoperative agitation
						was significantly lower
						in patients given
						intranasal
						dexmedetomidine, and
						the recovery time was
						similar in the two
						groups. The study
						suggests that intranasal
						dexmedetomidine can

after the operation. The   this study could inform	Postoperative delirium after long-term general anesthesia in elderly patients, how to reduce it? Protocol of a doubleblinded, randomized, placebo-controlled trial.	China	Long operation duration (>4 hours' anesthesia) of laparotomy in elderly patients would increase the risk of postoperative delirium (POD), which is characterized by acute cognitive dysfunction, changes in the level of consciousness, obvious attention disorder, emotional disorder, and sleep-waking cycle disorder. The occurrence of POD is closely related to the risk of death, and it will also seriously affect the cognitive function of patients, prolong postoperative hospital stays, and increase medical expenses. It is known that dexmedetomidine could function in sedation, analgesia, and anti- sympathetic effect, and it also could simulate	-Control Group: continuous infusion of 0.9% sodium chloride solution  -Experimental Group: continuous infusion of dexmetomidine	Randomized Double Blind Clinical Trial	-Patients aging 60– 75 years' old; receiving hepatobiliary laparotomy with an estimated duration of >4 hours in general anesthesia	The study aims to explore the efficacy and safety of dexmedetomidine in reducing the incidence of postoperative delirium in elderly patients undergoing long-term general anesthesia in laparotomy. The study design is a prospective, single-center, single-blind, randomized, controlled clinical trial. The mechanism of delirium is unclear and may be related to inflammation, sleep deprivation, physiological stress, traumatic stimulation, medications (anticholinergics, opioids, benzodiazepines) and neurological damage caused by cerebral hypoxia. Surgery can cause a stress response, release inflammatory mediators, and induce delirium.  Sample size estimation will be based on the incidence of delirium on the first day after the operation. The	be used as a premedication for children undergoing fiberoptic bronchoscopy for foreign body removal, and can improve the safety and efficacy of the procedure.  The study aims to investigate the efficacy and safety of dexmedetomidine in reducing the incidence of postoperative delirium in elderly patients undergoing long-term general anesthesia in laparotomy. If the results of the study show that dexmedetomidine is effective in reducing postoperative delirium, this could have significant practical implications for the management of elderly patients undergoing long operations.  The use of dexmedetomidine as a sedative, analgesic and antisympathetic agent could improve patient outcomes by reducing the risk of postoperative delirium. The findings of this study could inform
--	---	-------	---	---	--	--	---	--

		normal sleep state of human body, but there is still a lack of clinical study of dexmedetomidine on the incidence of POD in elderly patients undergoing long-term general anesthesia in laparotomy				treatment group is 15.5, and 42 in the control group. The measurement data will be tested using the independent sample t-test for normal distribution and homogeneity of variance, and the Mann-Whitney U- test for non-corresponding data. The study is still ongoing, and the results are not yet available.	guidelines and protocols for the perioperative management of elderly patients, with the aim of reducing the occurrence of postoperative delirium. The study design, implementation and reporting of the results follow established guidelines, ensuring the reliability and validity of the results. Further research and replication of the study in different settings would be necessary to confirm
							the practical implications of the use
							of dexmedetomidine in
							reducing postoperative
							delirium in elderly
							patients undergoing
							long-term general
							anesthesia in
							laparotomy.
					Franciscostal	The study aimed to evaluate	In pediatric patients
			- <u>Experimental</u>		- <u>Experimental</u>	the effect of two different	undergoing
The effect of two		To ough at different	Group 1 (DEX 0,5		Group 1 (DEX 0,5	doses of dexmedetomidine	adenotonsillectomy,
different doses of		To evaluate different	Group): 0.5 μg.kg-		Group): 58 patients	in preventing emergence	both doses of
dexmedetomidine		doses of	1		Fun arim antal	agitation (EA) in children	dexmedetomidine (0.5
to prevent emergence		dexmedetomidine for	dexmedetomidine	Randomized	Experimental Crown 3 (DEV 1.0)	undergoing adenotonsillectomy. The	g.kg-1 and 1 g.kg-1)
agitation in children	China	the prevention of emergence		Double Blind	Group 2 (DEX 1,0	results showed that both	were equally effective in
undergoing		agitation in children	<u>Experimental</u>	Clinical Trial	Group): 61 patients	doses of dexmedetomidine	preventing emergency agitation without
adenotonsillectomy: a		undergoing	Group 2 (DEX 1,0		Patients aged 3 -	were effective in preventing	delaying extubation and
randomized controlled		adenotonsillectomy.	<u>Group)</u> : 1.0 μg.kg-		10 years scheduled	EA, with no significant	awakening. This
trial.		auenotonsinectomy.	1		for	difference between the two	suggests that a lower
			dexmedetomidine		adenotonsillectomy	groups. The study also	dose of
					adenotonsinectomy	evaluated other factors such	dexmedetomidine (0.5
		<u> </u>			ļ.	evaluated other juctors such	acamedetonname (0.5

						as cough score and SpO2 below 95%, but these did not show significant differences	g.kg-1) can be used to achieve the desired effect, potentially
						between the two groups.	reducing the risk of
						The study found that the	adverse events
						time to awake, time to	associated with higher
						extubate, and time of PACU	doses.
						stay were significantly	The combination of the
						shorter in the DEX 0.5 group	PAED and EA scales can
						compared to the DEX 1	accurately assess
						group. The study concluded	agitation in pediatric
						that both doses of	patients, providing a
						dexmedetomidine were	reliable method for
						equally beneficial for the	evaluating the
						prevention of EA in children	effectiveness of
						undergoing	interventions. The study
						adenotonsillectomy.	highlights the
							importance of
							monitoring SpO2 levels during anesthesia, as a
							higher percentage of
							patients in the DEX 1
							group had low SpO2
							compared to the DEX
							0.5 group. This finding
							emphasizes the need for
							careful dose selection
							and monitoring to
							ensure patient safety.
		Emergence agitation is	-Control Group:		- <u>Control Group</u> : 30 patients.	The main results of the study	The clinical/practical implications of the
Effect of two different		a postoperative	Placebo received		•	were related to the effect of	study are that
doses of		negative behavior that	10 mL of normal		- <u>Experimental</u>	two different doses of dexmedetomidine on the	dexmedetomidine can
dexmedetomidine on		affects mainly children. We studied the effect of	saline	Randomized	Group 1: 30	incidence of agitation on	be used to reduce the
the incidence of	Egypt	two different doses of		Double Blind	patients.	awakening after strabismus	incidence of emergence
emergence agitation	Lgypt	dexmedetomidine on	- <u>Experimental</u>	Clinical Trial		surgery. The study was a	agitation after
after strabismus		the incidence and	<u>Group 1</u> : 0.5	c.iiiicai iiiai	- <u>Experimental</u>	randomized clinical trial that	strabismus surgery in
surgery: a randomized		degree of EA in children	μg.kg-1 of		<u>Group 2</u> : 30	included three groups: a	children. The study
clinical trial.		undergoing strabismus	Dexmedetomidine.		patients.	high-dose dexmedetomidine	showed that the
		surgery				group, a low-dose	incidence of agitation
							was significantly lower

	- <u>Experimental</u>	Strabismus surgery	dexmedetomidine group and	in the high dose
	Group 2: 0.25	in children aged 3 -	a placebo group.	dexmedetomidine group
	μg.kg-1 of	10 years.	The incidence of agitation	compared to the other
	Dexmedetomidine.	10 years.	was significantly lower in the	groups, and it was
	Dexinedetoimaine.		high Dex group compared to	significantly lower in the
			the other groups and was	low dose
			also significantly lower in the	dexmedetomidine group
			low Dex group compared to	compared to the
				placebo group. The
			the placebo group. However,	, , ,
			the Pediatric Anesthesia	median FLACC score
			Emergence Delirium (PAED)	was significantly lower
			score was significantly lower	in both
			in both Dex groups	dexmedetomidine
			compared to the placebo	groups compared to the
			group.	placebo group.
			The time to eye opening was	Recovery times,
			significantly longer in the	including the time from
			high Dex group compared to	removal of the
			the low Dex group and the	laryngeal mask to eye
			placebo group. The time to	opening and the time
			discharge from the PACU	stay in the post-
			with an Aldrete score of 9 or	anesthesia care unit,
			10 was significantly longer in	were significantly
			the high Dex group	longer in the high dose
			compared to the other two	dexmedetomidine group
			groups.	compared to the other
			The incidence of bradycardia	groups. However, no
			and hypotension was low	significant bradycardia
			and not significantly	or hypotension was
			different between the	recorded. The study
			groups. In general,	concluded that
			dexmedetomidine at a	dexmedetomidine (0.5
			higher dose (0.5 g.kg -1)	μg/kg) before
			resulted in a reduction in the	emergence from
			incidence of emergency	general anesthesia
			agitation compared to a	resulted in a reduction
			lower dose (0.25 g.kg -1),	in the incidence of
			but at the cost of longer	emergence agitation
			recovery times.	compared to
			<b>,2.</b>	dexmedetomidine (0.25
				μg/kg) but at the
	<u> </u>			F-3/g/ ~ at at all

tonsillectomy under sevoflurane anesthesia.  Dexmedetomidine.  Dexmedetomidine.  Dexmedetomidine.  Dexmedetomidine.  Dexmedetomidine.  Dexmedetomidine.  Dexmedetomidine.  Dexmedetomidine.  Dexmedetomidine.  Frequency distribution of each degree of Watcha score at various points in the postoperative period, with significant differences between the DEX I and DEX II for drug administration is easy, needle-free, and The DEX groups had lower avoids the first-pass
--

			scale (OPS) at various times	finding suggests that
			after arrival in the PACU,	the OTM route can be a
			l -	
			with no difference between the DEX I and DEX II groups.	suitable drug delivery method for
			l	,
			In addition, patients in the	preoperative
			DEX II group had a lower	medication in small
			mean heart rate at 15	children. The study
			minutes intraoperatively and	demonstrated the
			lower mean blood pressure	clinical advantage and
			at various times, with no	the simple technique of
			significant differences	oral trans-mucosal
			between the groups at other	dexmedetomidine
			times.	premedication for
				emergence agitation in
				preschool children
				undergoing
				tonsillectomy under
				sevoflurane anesthesia
				compared with saline
				placebo. This finding
				suggests that oral trans-
				mucosal
				dexmedetomidine can
				be a useful tool for
				anesthesiologists to
				manage emergence
				agitation in children
				undergoing
				tonsillectomy. The study
				also highlights the need
				for further research to
				investigate the optimal
				dose and route of
				administration of oral
				trans-mucosal
				dexmedetomidine for
				sedative premedication
				in children.
l			L	

## **DISCUSSION**

The results of the systematic review titled "Prevention of Emergency Delirium with Dexmedetomidine in Pediatrics" provide valuable insights into the use of dexmedetomidine in various pediatric and geriatric surgical settings. In this discussion, we will compare these findings with those of other studies, identify methodological errors and limitations, draw certain conclusions, and discuss the implications for future research.

Several studies included in this systematic review have demonstrated the potential benefits of dexmedetomidine in reducing the incidence of postoperative delirium (PD) in different patient populations. For instance, the study involving elderly patients undergoing major cardiac or non-cardiac surgery found a significant reduction in the incidence of PD (43.8% vs. 17.9%) with dexmedetomidine compared to the control group. This aligns with the findings in the study on pediatric tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy, which reported a lower incidence of emergency delirium (ED) in the dexmedetomidine group (31.1% vs. 53.3%).

However, there are also studies, like the one involving children undergoing outpatient procedures, that did not find a significant reduction in negative behavior on the third postoperative day with dexmedetomidine premedication. These variations in outcomes highlight the importance of patient demographics, surgical procedures, and dosing regimens in determining the efficacy of dexmedetomidine.

While the systematic review provides valuable insights, it is crucial to acknowledge certain methodological limitations. Some studies had relatively small sample sizes, which might limit the generalizability of their findings. Additionally, the assessment of delirium, pain, and other outcomes might have been influenced by subjective measures, potentially introducing bias. The absence of standardized definitions for delirium severity and the reliance on clinical history to assess obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) are notable limitations.

- To further advance our understanding of dexmedetomidine's role in preventing delirium and improving perioperative outcomes, future research should focus on addressing the following areas:
- 1. Dosing Optimization: Investigate the optimal dosing regimens for different patient populations and surgical procedures to maximize the benefits while minimizing potential side effects.
- 2. Objective Delirium Assessment: Implement objective measures for delirium assessment, such as validated delirium scales, to reduce subjectivity and improve accuracy.
- 3. Long-term Effects: Examine the long-term effects of dexmedetomidine administration on cognitive function, as some studies in this review did not find differences in postoperative cognitive dysfunction (POCD).

- 4. Safety and Adverse Events: Conduct larger-scale studies to assess the safety profile of dexmedetomidine, especially in the context of major surgeries and prolonged use.
- 5. Standardization: Standardize the definitions and criteria for assessing outcomes like delirium severity and OSA, to enhance the comparability of results across studies

The systematic review indicates that dexmedetomidine shows promise in reducing the incidence of postoperative delirium, emergency delirium, and pain in various surgical populations. These findings have significant clinical implications, especially for elderly patients and children undergoing specific procedures. Dexmedetomidine's safety profile was generally acceptable, with no major adverse events reported.

In conclusion, while the systematic review suggests that dexmedetomidine may offer benefits in preventing postoperative delirium and improving perioperative outcomes, further research is needed to establish optimal dosing, refine assessment methods, and explore its long-term effects. Dexmedetomidine holds promise as a valuable tool in pediatric and geriatric surgical settings, with the potential to enhance patient care and recovery.

## **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

There are no exist.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Abdel-Ghaffar HS, Abdel-Wahab AH, Roushdy MM. [Oral trans-mucosal dexmedetomidine for controlling of emergence agitation in children undergoing tonsillectomy: a randomized controlled trial]. Braz J Anesthesiol 2019;69:469-76. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjan.2019.06.012.
- 2. Abdel-Rahman KA-B, Abd-Elshafy SK, Sayed JA. [Effect of two different doses of dexmedetomidine on the incidence of emergence agitation after strabismus surgery: a randomized clinical trial]. Braz J Anesthesiol 2018;68:571-6.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjan.2018.05.002.

- 3. Alassaf HM, Sobahi AM, Alshahrani NS. The efficacy and safety of dexmedetomidine in preventing emergence delirium in paediatric patients following ophthalmic surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. J Anesth Analg Crit Care 2022;2:48. https://doi.org/10.1186/s44158-022-00079-y. 4. Bartoszek M, McGuire JM, Wilson JT, Sorensen JS, Vice TFR, Hudson AJ. The Effectiveness of Dexmedetomidine as a Prophylactic Treatment for Emergence Delirium Among Combat Veterans With High Anxiety: A Randomized Placebo-Controlled Trial. Mil Med 2023;188:e286-94. https://doi.org/10.1093/milmed/usab212.
- 5. Bedirli N, Akçabay M, Emik U. Tramadol vs dexmedetomidine for emergence agitation control in

- pediatric patients undergoing adenotonsillectomy with sevoflurane anesthesia: prospective randomized controlled clinical study. BMC Anesthesiol 2017;17:41. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12871-017-0332-4.
- 6. Bong CL, Lim E, Allen JC, Choo WLH, Siow YN, Teo PBY, et al. A comparison of single-dose dexmedetomidine or propofol on the incidence of emergence delirium in children undergoing general anaesthesia for magnetic resonance imaging. Anaesthesia 2015;70:393-9. https://doi.org/10.1111/anae.12867.
- 7. Bromfalk Å, Hultin M, Myrberg T, Engström Å, Walldén J. Postoperative recovery in preschool-aged children: A secondary analysis of a randomized controlled trial comparing premedication with midazolam, clonidine, and dexmedetomidine. Paediatr Anaesth 2023;33:962-72. https://doi.org/10.1111/pan.14740.
- 8. Cao J-L, Pei Y-P, Wei J-Q, Zhang Y-Y. Effects of intraoperative dexmedetomidine with intravenous anesthesia on postoperative emergence agitation/delirium in pediatric patients undergoing tonsillectomy with or without adenoidectomy: A CONSORT-prospective, randomized, controlled clinical trial. Medicine (Baltimore) 2016;95:e5566. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000005566.
- 9. Chai D-D, Ji J. [Effect of dexmedetomidine combined with sevoflurane for general anesthesia during dental treatment in pediatric patients]. Shanghai Kou Qiang Yi Xue 2018;27:85-8.
- 10. Chen J-Y, Jia J-E, Liu T-J, Qin M-J, Li W-X. Comparison of the effects of dexmedetomidine, ketamine, and placebo on emergence agitation after strabismus surgery in children. Can J Anaesth 2013;60:385-92. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12630-013-9886-x.
- 11. Chen Y-X, Lin J, Ye X-H, Zhao X-D, Yan Q-X. Analysis of anesthetic effect of dexmedetomidine in femoral shaft fracture surgery. Medicine (Baltimore) 2022;101:e32388. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000032388.
- 12. Cho E-A, Cha Y-B, Shim J-G, Ahn J-H, Lee SH, Ryu K-H. Comparison of single minimum dose administration of dexmedetomidine and midazolam for prevention of emergence delirium in children: a randomized controlled trial.

  J Anesth 2020;34:59-65. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00540-019-02705-6.
- 13. Costi D, Cyna AM, Ahmed S, Stephens K, Strickland P, Ellwood J, et al. Effects of sevoflurane versus other general anaesthesia on emergence agitation in children. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2014:CD007084. https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD007084.pub2.
- 14. Devroe S, Devriese L, Debuck F, Fieuws S, Cools B, Gewillig M, et al. Effect of xenon and dexmedetomidine as adjuncts for general anesthesia on postoperative emergence delirium after elective cardiac catheterization in children: study protocol for a randomized, controlled, pilot trial. Trials 2020;21:310. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-020-4231-5.
- 15. Di M, Han Y, Yang Z, Liu H, Ye X, Lai H, et al. Tracheal extubation in deeply anesthetized pediatric patients after tonsillectomy: a comparison of high-concentration sevoflurane alone and low-concentration sevoflurane in

- combination with dexmedetomidine pre-medication. BMC Anesthesiol 2017;17:28. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12871-017-0317-3.
- 16. FitzSimons J, Bonanno LS, Pierce S, Badeaux J. Effectiveness of preoperative intranasal dexmedetomidine, compared with oral midazolam, for the prevention of emergence delirium in the pediatric patient undergoing general anesthesia: a systematic review. JBI Database System Rev Implement Rep 2017;15:1934-51. https://doi.org/10.11124/JBISRIR-2016-003096.
- 17. Goswami M, Sangal A, Rahman B, Chawla S. Comparison of the safety and efficacy of dexmedetomidine with midazolam for the management of paediatric dental patients: A systematic review. J Indian Soc Pedod Prev Dent 2021;39:233-9. https://doi.org/10.4103/jisppd.jisppd\_517\_20.
- 18. Hadi SM, Saleh AJ, Tang YZ, Daoud A, Mei X, Ouyang W. The effect of KETODEX on the incidence and severity of emergence agitation in children undergoing adenotonsillectomy using sevoflurane based-anesthesia. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol 2015;79:671-6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijporl.2015.02.012.
- 19. Han X, Sun X, Liu X, Wang Q. Single bolus dexmedetomidine versus propofol for treatment of pediatric emergence delirium following general anesthesia. Paediatr Anaesth 2022;32:446-51. https://doi.org/10.1111/pan.14381.
- 20. Hauber JA, Davis PJ, Bendel LP, Martyn SV, McCarthy DL, Evans M-C, et al. Dexmedetomidine as a Rapid Bolus for Treatment and Prophylactic Prevention of Emergence Agitation in Anesthetized Children. Anesth Analg 2015;121:1308-15.
- https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0000000000000931.
- 21. Huang L, Wang L, Peng W, Qin C. A Comparison of Dexmedetomidine and Propofol on Emergence Delirium in Children Undergoing Cleft Palate Surgery With Sevoflurane-Based Anesthesia. J Craniofac Surg 2022;33:650-3.
- https://doi.org/10.1097/SCS.0000000000008343.
- 22. Isik B, Arslan M, Tunga AD, Kurtipek O. Dexmedetomidine decreases emergence agitation in pediatric patients after sevoflurane anesthesia without surgery. Paediatr Anaesth 2006;16:748-53. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-9592.2006.01845.x.
- 23. Jangra S, Ashok V, Sethi S, Ram J. Atomised intranasal dexmedetomidine versus oral melatonin in prevention of emergence delirium in children undergoing ophthalmic surgery with sevoflurane: A randomised double-blind study. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2022;39:868-74. https://doi.org/10.1097/EJA.0000000000001727.
- 24. Jiao H, Wang H, Jiang Z, Hu J. Comparative efficacy of ancillary drugs in sevoflurane-related emergence agitation after paediatric adenotonsillectomy: A Bayesian network meta-analysis. J Clin Pharm Ther 2020;45:1039-49. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpt.13133.
- 25. Josephine C, Shariffuddin II, Chaw SH, Ng KWS, Ng KT. Hemodynamic Response of High- and Low-Dose Dexmedetomidine of Pediatric in General Anesthesia: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomized

- Controlled Trials. Asian J Anesthesiol 2021;59:7-21. https://doi.org/10.6859/aja.202103 59(1).0002.
- 26. Kim J, Kim SY, Lee JH, Kang YR, Koo B-N. Low-dose dexmedetomidine reduces emergence agitation after desflurane anaesthesia in children undergoing strabismus surgery. Yonsei Med J 2014;55:508-16. https://doi.org/10.3349/ymj.2014.55.2.508.
- 27. Koceroglu I, Devrim S, Bingol Tanriverdi T, Gura Celik M. The effects of dexmedetomidine and tramadol on post-operative pain and agitation, and extubation quality in paediatric patients undergoing adenotonsillectomy surgery: A randomized trial. J Clin Pharm Ther 2020;45:340-6. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpt.13080.
- 28. Lee-Archer PF, von Ungern-Sternberg BS, Reade M, Betts M, Haenke D, Keys A, et al. The effect of dexmedetomidine on postoperative behaviour change in children: a randomised controlled trial. Anaesthesia 2020;75:1461-8. https://doi.org/10.1111/anae.15117.
- 29. Lee-Archer P, McBride C, Paterson R, Reade M, Reglivon Ungern-Sternberg B, Long D. Does dexmedetomidine given as a premedication or intraoperatively reduce posthospitalisation behaviour change in children? A study protocol for a randomised controlled trial in a tertiary paediatric hospital. BMJ Open 2018;8:e019915. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019915.
- 30. Lei D-X, Wu C-J, Wu Z-Y, Wang L-Y, Zhao Q, She Y-J. Efficacy of different doses of intranasal dexmedetomidine in preventing emergence agitation in children with inhalational anaesthesia: A prospective randomised trial. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2022;39:858-67. https://doi.org/10.1097/EJA.0000000000001743.
- 31. Li L-Q, Wang C, Xu H-Y, Lu H-L, Zhang H-Z. Effects of different doses of intranasal dexmedetomidine on preoperative sedation and postoperative agitation in pediatric with total intravenous anesthesia undergoing adenoidectomy with or without tonsillectomy. Medicine (Baltimore) 2018;97:e12140. https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.0000000000012140.
- 32. Li S, Liu T, Xia J, Jia J, Li W. Effect of dexmedetomidine on prevention of postoperative nausea and vomiting in pediatric strabismus surgery: a randomized controlled study. BMC Ophthalmol 2020;20:86. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12886-020-01359-3.
- 33. Lin L, Yueming Z, Meisheng L, Jiexue W, Yang J. [Effect of dexmedetomidine on emergence agitation after general anesthesia in children undergoing odontotherapy in day-surgery operating room]. Hua Xi Kou Qiang Yi Xue Za Zhi 2017;35:613-7. https://doi.org/10.7518/hxkq.2017.06.010.
- 34. Lin Y, Chen Y, Huang J, Chen H, Shen W, Guo W, et al. Efficacy of premedication with intranasal dexmedetomidine on inhalational induction and postoperative emergence agitation in pediatric undergoing cataract surgery with sevoflurane. J Clin Anesth 2016;33:289-95. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinane.2016.04.027.
- 35. Liu W, Sun R, Gao X, Wang S. Effects of preoperative nasal spray esketamine on separation anxiety and emergence agitation in pediatric strabismus surgery: A

- randomized clinical trial. Medicine (Baltimore) 2022;101:e32280.
- https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000032280.
- 36. Lundblad M, Marhofer D, Eksborg S, Lönnqvist P-A. Dexmedetomidine as adjunct to ilioinguinal/iliohypogastric nerve blocks for pediatric inguinal hernia repair: an exploratory randomized controlled trial. Paediatr Anaesth 2015;25:897-905. https://doi.org/10.1111/pan.12704.
- 37. Makkar JK, Bhatia N, Bala I, Dwivedi D, Singh PM. A comparison of single dose dexmedetomidine with propofol for the prevention of emergence delirium after desflurane anaesthesia in children. Anaesthesia 2016;71:50-7. https://doi.org/10.1111/anae.13230.
- 38. Mountain BW, Smithson L, Cramolini M, Wyatt TH, Newman M. Dexmedetomidine as a pediatric anesthetic premedication to reduce anxiety and to deter emergence delirium. AANA J 2011;79:219-24.
- 39. Patel A, Davidson M, Tran MCJ, Quraishi H, Schoenberg C, Sant M, et al. Dexmedetomidine infusion for analgesia and prevention of emergence agitation in children with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome undergoing tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy. Anesth Analg 2010;111:1004-10. https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0b013e3181ee82fa.
- 40. Petre M-A, Levin DN, Englesakis M, Maynes JT, Pechlivanoglou P, Aoyama K. Dexmedetomidine vs. total intravenous anaesthesia in paediatric emergence delirium: A network meta-analysis. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2021;38:1111-23.
- https://doi.org/10.1097/EJA.000000000001490.
- 41. Qian B, Zheng W, Shi J, Chen Z, Guo Y, Yao Y. Ketamine Enhances Intranasal Dexmedetomidine-Induced Sedation in Children: A Randomized, Double-Blind Trial. Drug Des Devel Ther 2020;14:3559-65. https://doi.org/10.2147/DDDT.S269765.
- 42. Raman S, Viswanathan J, Surya J, Raman R. Singlebolus dexmedetomidine in prevention of emergence delirium in pediatric ophthalmic surgeries: A randomized controlled trial. Indian J Ophthalmol 2023;71:2199-203. https://doi.org/10.4103/IJO.IJO 2728 22.
- 43. Rao Y, Zeng R, Jiang X, Li J, Wang X. The Effect of Dexmedetomidine on Emergence Agitation or Delirium in Children After Anesthesia-A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Clinical Studies. Front Pediatr 2020;8:329. https://doi.org/10.3389/fped.2020.00329.
- 44. Shah UJ, Nguyen D, Karuppiaah N, Martin J, Sehmbi H. Efficacy and safety of caudal dexmedetomidine in pediatric infra-umbilical surgery: a meta-analysis and trial-sequential analysis of randomized controlled trials. Reg Anesth Pain Med 2021;46:422-32. https://doi.org/10.1136/rapm-2020-102024.
- 45. Shama AAA, Elsayed AA, Albraithen AA, Arafa SK. Effect of Dexmedetomidine, Dexamethasone, and Ondansetron on Postoperative Nausea and Vomiting in Children Undergoing Dental Rehabilitation: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Pain Physician 2023;26:1-11.
- 46. Shen F, Zhang Q, Xu Y, Wang X, Xia J, Chen C, et al. Effect of Intranasal Dexmedetomidine or Midazolam for

- Premedication on the Occurrence of Respiratory Adverse Events in Children Undergoing Tonsillectomy and Adenoidectomy: A Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Netw Open 2022;5:e2225473.
- https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2022.25473.
- 47. Shi M, Miao S, Gu T, Wang D, Zhang H, Liu J. Dexmedetomidine for the prevention of emergence delirium and postoperative behavioral changes in pediatric patients with sevoflurane anesthesia: a double-blind, randomized trial. Drug Des Devel Ther 2019;13:897-905. https://doi.org/10.2147/DDDT.S196075.
- 48. Song I-A, Seo K-S, Oh A-Y, Baik J-S, Kim JH, Hwang J-W, et al. Dexmedetomidine Injection during Strabismus Surgery Reduces Emergence Agitation without Increasing the Oculocardiac Reflex in Children: A Randomized Controlled Trial. PLoS One 2016;11:e0162785. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0162785.
- 49. Song J, Liu S, Fan B, Li G, Sun Q. Perioperative dexmedetomidine reduces emergence agitation without increasing the oculocardiac reflex in children: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore) 2021;100:e25717.
- https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000025717.
- 50. Strickley T, Smith K, Ericksen AM. Dexmedetomidine An Alternative to Midazolam in the Treatment of Ketamine-Induced Emergence Delirium: A Systematic Review. J Perianesth Nurs 2023:S1089-9472(23)00920-6. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jopan.2023.08.003.
- 51. Sun Y, Liu J, Yuan X, Li Y. Effects of dexmedetomidine on emergence delirium in pediatric cardiac surgery. Minerva Pediatr 2017;69:165-73. https://doi.org/10.23736/S0026-4946.16.04227-4.
- 52. Talon MD, Woodson LC, Sherwood ER, Aarsland A, McRae L, Benham T. Intranasal dexmedetomidine premedication is comparable with midazolam in burn children undergoing reconstructive surgery. J Burn Care Res 2009;30:599-605.
- https://doi.org/10.1097/BCR.0b013e3181abff90.
- 53. Tsiotou AG, Malisiova A, Kouptsova E, Mavri M, Anagnostopoulou M, Kalliardou E. Dexmedetomidine for the reduction of emergence delirium in children undergoing tonsillectomy with propofol anesthesia: A double-blind, randomized study. Paediatr Anaesth 2018;28:632-8. https://doi.org/10.1111/pan.13397.
- 54. Wang H-Y, Chen T-Y, Li D-J, Lin P-Y, Su K-P, Chiang M-H, et al. Association of pharmacological prophylaxis with the risk of pediatric emergence delirium after sevoflurane anesthesia: An updated network meta-analysis. J Clin Anesth 2021;75:110488.
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinane.2021.110488.
- 55. Wang L, Huang L, Zhang T, Peng W. Comparison of Intranasal Dexmedetomidine and Oral Midazolam for Premedication in Pediatric Dental Patients under General Anesthesia: A Randomised Clinical Trial. Biomed Res Int 2020;2020:5142913.
- https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/5142913.
- 56. Wang W, Huang P, Gao W, Cao F, Yi M, Chen L, et al. Efficacy and Acceptability of Different Auxiliary Drugs in Pediatric Sevoflurane Anesthesia: A Network Meta-

- analysis of Mixed Treatment Comparisons. Sci Rep 2016;6:36553. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep36553.
- 57. Wang X, Deng Q, Liu B, Yu X. Preventing Emergence Agitation Using Ancillary Drugs with Sevoflurane for Pediatric Anesthesia: A Network Meta-Analysis. Mol Neurobiol 2017;54:7312-26. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12035-016-0229-0.
- 58. Wu X-L, Peng B, Shan C-J, Liu J-J, Zhang F-C. The influence of dexmedetomidine on the emergence agitation of pediatric patients after the operations of sense organs under general anesthesia using sevoflurane. Minerva Pediatr (Torino) 2022;74:144-50. https://doi.org/10.23736/S2724-5276.17.04854-X.
- 59. Yao Y, Qian B, Lin Y, Wu W, Ye H, Chen Y. Intranasal dexmedetomidine premedication reduces minimum alveolar concentration of sevoflurane for laryngeal mask airway insertion and emergence delirium in children: a prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled trial. Paediatr Anaesth 2015;25:492-8. https://doi.org/10.1111/pan.12574.
- 60. Yao Y, Sun Y, Lin J, Chen W, Lin Y, Zheng X. Intranasal dexmedetomidine versus oral midazolam premedication to prevent emergence delirium in children undergoing strabismus surgery: A randomised controlled trial. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2020;37:1143-9. https://doi.org/10.1097/EJA.000000000001270.